arXiv:1503.09032v1 [math.AP] 31 Mar 2015

HARNACK INEQUALITY FOR DEGENERATE AND SINGULAR OPERATORS OF p-LAPLACIAN TYPE ON RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

SOOJUNG KIM

Abstract. We study viscosity solutions to degenerate and singular elliptic equations of p-Laplacian type on Riemannian manifolds. The Krylov-Safonov type Harnack inequality for the p-Laplacian operators with $1 < p < \infty$ is established on the manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below based on ABP type estimates. We also prove the Harnack inequality for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators assuming that a nonlinear perturbation of Ricci curvature is bounded below.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminary: Riemannian geometry 4
3. Viscosity solutions for singular operators 10
4. ABP type estimates 16
4.1. Jacobian estimates 16
4.2. Degenerate operators 20
4.3. Singular operators 26
5. L^ϵ-estimates 40
6. Harnack inequality 44
References 46

1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove the Krylov-Safonov Harnack inequality for viscosity solutions to degenerate and singular elliptic equations of p-Laplacian type with $1 < p < \infty$ on Riemannian manifolds. The p-Laplacian operator $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)$ appears in the Euler-Lagrange equation of the L^p-norm of the gradient of functions, and can also be expressed in nondivergence form:

$$\Delta_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) D^2 u\right],$$

where a tensor product $X \otimes X$ for a vector field X over a Riemannian manifold M is a symmetric bilinear form on TM defined by $X \otimes X\,(Y, Z) := \langle X, Y\rangle \langle X, Z\rangle$ for $Y, Z \in TM$. Along the lines of a fundamental work of Yau [Y], differential Harnack inequalities for p-Laplacian operators have been obtained in [KN, WxZ] on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below. For divergence form operators, the De Giorgi-Nash-Moser Harnack inequality was extended for uniformly parabolic operators in [G, SC], and for the p-Laplacian operators in [H, RSV] on Riemannian manifolds satisfying certain properties: a volume doubling property and a weak version of Poincaré's inequality.

Cabré [Ca] in his remarkable paper investigated the Krylov-Safonov type Harnack inequality for uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds by establishing the ABP type estimates. The ABP estimate is a cornerstone of the Krylov-Safonov regularity theory, which is proved using affine functions in the Euclidean space; refer to [CC] for instance. In the Riemannian setting, Cabré used the squared distance function as the appropriate replacement for affine functions to derive the ABP type estimates on Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative sectional curvature. The idea of sliding paraboloids was also used by Savin [S] to show the ABP type measure estimate for small perturbation solutions in $\mathbb{R}^n$; see also [M]. The sectional curvature assumption of Cabré's result was weakened into the certain conditions on the Hessian of the distance function in [K], which gave in particular a new proof of the Harnack inequality on Riemannian manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Recently, Wang and Zhang [WZ] studied the ABP type estimates and a locally uniform Harnack inequality for uniformly elliptic operators on the manifolds with sectional curvature bounded below. The Harnack inequality for viscosity solutions to uniformly parabolic equations on Riemannian manifolds has been obtained in [KKL, KL].

This paper deals with the Harnack inequality for viscosity solutions to the p-Laplacian type equations with $1 < p < \infty$ on Riemannnian manifolds employing the ABP type method. To study the ABP type estimates, we slide the $\frac{p}{p-1}$-th power of the distance function from below, which is the squared distance in the special case $p = 2$, and introduce the p-contact set adapted to the p-Laplacian operator (see Definition 4.1). Let d_y denote the Riemannian distance from a point $y \in M$. For $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and a compact set $E \subset M$, the p-contact set associated with u and the vertex set E is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) := \left\{x \in \overline{\Omega} :\ \exists y \in E \text{ such that } \inf_{\overline{\Omega}}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)\right\}.$$

Then it is shown in Proposition 4.2 that a vertex point $y \in E$ associated with a contact point $x \in \Omega$ with nonvanishing gradient of u is given by

$$y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) =: \Phi_p(x).$$

By estimating an upper bound of the Jacobian determinant of the map $\Phi_p(x)$ over the p-contact set, we prove the ABP type estimate for the degenerate cases $p \geq 2$ in Theorem 4.4 stating that the measure of the vertex set is bounded by the integral over the p-contact set in terms of the p-Laplacian operator, provided that Ricci curvature of the underlying manifold is bounded below. This generalizes [WZ, Theorem 1.2], the case $p = 2$. For $1 < p < 2$, the p-Laplacian operator becomes singular when the gradient vanishes. To cope with singularities, we make use of a regularized operator $\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}^-_{p-1,1}(D^2u)$ for $\delta > 0$, which has been considered in [DFQ1, ACP] for the Euclidean case. This leads to introduce a regularized map

$$x \mapsto \exp_x \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x) =: \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \quad (\delta > 0),$$

which lies on the minimal geodesic joining x to $y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) = \Phi_p(x)$ at time $\left(\frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{|\nabla u(x)|^2+\delta}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}$ for the contact point x with nonvanishing gradient of u. A uniform Jacobian estimate of $\Phi_{p,\delta}$ over the p-contact set with respect to $\delta > 0$ will imply the ABP type estimate for singular cases in Theorem 4.8. Based on the ABP type estimates, a locally uniform Harnack inequality for the p-Laplacian operators is established by means of the volume comparison and the Laplacian comparison on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below. More generally, we are concerned with the nonlinear degenerate and singular equations of p-Laplacian type

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}F\left(D^2u\right)+\langle b,\nabla u\rangle\,|\nabla u|^{p-2}=f,$$

where F is a uniformly elliptic operator and b is a bounded vector field over M. From [K], we recall the Pucci operator of the Ricci transform; for $0<\lambda\leq\Lambda<\infty$, and for any $x\in M$ and any unit vector $e\in T_xM$,

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)):=\Lambda\sum_{\kappa_i<0}\kappa_i+\lambda\sum_{\kappa_i>0}\kappa_i,$$

where κ_i are the eigenvalues of the Ricci transform $R(e)$. Note that $\mathcal{M}^-_{1,1}(R(e))=\mathrm{Ric}(e,e)$. We refer to Section 2 for the definitions. In place of the Ricci curvature bound, we assume that $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))$ is uniformly bounded below for any unit vector $e\in TM$ when we study qualitative properties for viscosity solutions to the nonlinear degenerate and singular equations of p-Laplacian type.

We would like to mention related results on estimates for the p-Laplacian type operators. In the Euclidean space, the ABP estimates for the p-Laplacian type operators have been obtained in [DFQ1, I, ACP] on the basis of the estimates over a contact set by the affine functions. A recent work by Imbert and Silvestre [IS] addresses the Hölder estimates and Harnack inequality for viscosity solutions satisfying a uniformly elliptic equation only at points where the gradient is large. In the proof the ABP type measure estimates, they used genuinely a cusp, the square root of the distance which corresponds to the case $p=-1$ in our setting. Assuming the Pucci operator of Ricci transform to be bounded below, their method can be applied to Riemaninian manifolds with the use of the argument in Proposition 4.2.

Our approach in this paper yields a different proof involving more intrinsic geometric quantities to the geometry of the p-Laplacian operators on Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded below. It can also be adapted to show a parabolic analogue of the ABP type estimate by using a generalized Legendre transform with respect to the $\frac{p}{p-1}$-th power of the distance in the singular cases $1<p\leq 2$ as in [KKL] since $d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ is twice differentiable on $M\setminus\mathrm{Cut}(y)$.

Now we state our main results as follows. Throughout this paper, let (M,g) be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n, and $B_R(z_0)$ denote a geodesic ball of radius R centered at z_0.

Theorem 1.1 (Harnack inequality)**.** *Let* $1<p<\infty$*, and* $\mathrm{Ric}\geq-(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa\geq 0$*. For* $z_0\in M$ *and* $0<R\leq R_0$*, let u be a nonnegative viscosity solution to*

$$\Delta_p u=f\quad\text{in } B_{2R}(z_0).$$

Then

$$\sup_{B_R(z_0)}u\leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(z_0)}u+R^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^\infty(B_{2R}(z_0))}\right),$$

where a constant $C>0$ *depends only on n, p, and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$*.*

In particular, when Ricci curvature of the underlying manifold is nonnegative, the above Harnack inequality is a global estimate, which implies the Liouville theorem.

Corollary 1.2. *Let* $1<p<\infty$*, and* $\mathrm{Ric}\geq 0$*. If u is a viscosity solution to* $\Delta_p u=0$ *in M, which is bounded from below, then u is a constant function.*

As a consequence of the Harnack inequality, we have a locally uniform Hölder estimate for viscosity solutions to the p-Laplacian equations.

Corollary 1.3 (Hölder estimate)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*, and* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$*. For* $z_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$*, let* u *be a viscosity solution to* $\Delta_p u = f$ *in* $B_{2R}(z_0)$*. Then*

$$R^{\alpha}[u]_{C^{\alpha}(B_R(z_0))} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{2R}(z_0))} + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^{\infty}(B_{2R}(z_0))}\right),$$

where the constants $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *and* $C > 0$ *depend only on* n*,* p*, and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$*.*

We also obtain similar results for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators.

Theorem 1.4 (Harnack inequality)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*, and* $\mathcal{M}^{-}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$*. Let* $z_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$*. For* $\beta \geq 0$ *and* $C_0 \geq 0$*, let* u *be a nonnegative viscosity solution to*

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^{-}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq C_0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0), \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^{+}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) + \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \geq -C_0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0). \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Then

$$\sup_{B_R(z_0)} u \leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(z_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}}C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where a constant $C > 0$ *depends only on* n*,* p*,* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$*,* λ*,* Λ*, and* βR_0*.*

Corollary 1.5 (Hölder estimate)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$*, and* $\mathcal{M}^{-}_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$*. Let* $z_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$*. For* $\beta \geq 0$ *and* $C_0 \geq 0$*, let* u *be a viscosity solution to* (1)*. Then*

$$R^{\alpha}[u]_{C^{\alpha}(B_R(z_0))} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{2R}(z_0))} + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}}C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where the constants $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *and* $C > 0$ *depend only on* n*,* p*,* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$*,* λ*,* Λ*, and* βR_0*.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some of the known results on Riemannian geometry that are used in this paper. In Section 3, we study the properties of the adapted viscosity solutions to singular elliptic equations, and recall a regularization by Jensen's inf-convolution on Riemannian manifolds. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the ABP type estimates for degenerate and singular operators of the p-Laplacian type with $1 < p < \infty$. Section 5 contains the proof of the L^{ϵ}-estimates by means of a barrier function and the volume comparison. In Section 6, we prove the Harnack inequality.

2. Preliminary: Riemannian geometry

Let (M, g) be a smooth, complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n, equipped with the Riemannian metric g. A Riemannian metric defines a scalar product and a norm on each tangent space, i.e., $\langle X, Y\rangle_x := g_x(X,Y)$ and $|X|_x^2 := \langle X, X\rangle_x$ for $X, Y \in T_xM$, where T_xM is the tangent space at $x \in M$. Let $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ be the Riemannian distance on M. For a given point $y \in M$, $d_y(x)$ stands for the distance to x from y, namely, $d_y(x) := d(x,y)$. A Riemannian manifold is equipped with the Riemannian measure $\mathrm{Vol} = \mathrm{Vol}_g$ on M which is denoted by $|\cdot|$ for simplicity.

The exponential map $\exp : TM \to M$ is defined as

$$\exp_x X := \gamma_{x,X}(1),$$

where $\gamma_{x,X} : \mathbb{R} \to M$ is the unique geodesic starting at $x \in M$ with velocity $X \in T_xM$. We note that the geodesic $\gamma_{x,X}$ is defined for all time since M is complete, but it is not minimizing in general. This leads to define the cut time $t_c(X)$: for $X \in T_xM$ with $|X| = 1$,

$$t_c(X) := \sup\{t > 0 : \exp_x sX \text{ is minimizing between } x \text{ and } \exp_x tX\}.$$

The cut locus of $x \in M$, denoted by $\mathrm{Cut}(x)$, is defined by

$$\mathrm{Cut}(x) := \{\exp_x t_c(X)X : X \in T_xM \text{ with } |X| = 1\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_x := \{tX \in T_xM : 0 \le t < t_c(X),\ X \in T_xM \text{ with } |X| = 1\}$, and $\mathcal{E} := \{X \in TM : X \in \mathcal{E}_x,\ x \in M\}$. In fact, the exponential map $\exp|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \to M$ is smooth. One can prove that for any $x \in M$, $\mathrm{Cut}(x) = \exp_x(\partial\mathcal{E}_x)$, $M = \exp_x(\mathcal{E}_x) \cup \mathrm{Cut}(x)$, and $\exp_x : \mathcal{E}_x \to \exp_x(\mathcal{E}_x)$ is a diffeomorphism. We recall that $\mathrm{Cut}(x)$ is closed and of measure zero. Given two points x and $y \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x)$, there exists a unique minimizing geodesic $\exp_x tX$ (for $X \in \mathcal{E}_x$) joining x to $y = \exp_x X$, and we will denote $X = \exp_x^{-1}(y)$ in the case. The Gauss lemma implies that

$$\exp_x^{-1}(y) = -\nabla d_y^2(x)/2, \quad \forall y \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x).$$

For a C^2-function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$, the gradient ∇u of u is defined by $\langle \nabla u, X\rangle := du(X)$ for any vector field X on M, where $du : TM \to \mathbb{R}$ is the differential of u. The Hessian D^2u of u is defined as

$$D^2u\,(X, Y) := \langle \nabla_X \nabla u, Y\rangle,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M, where ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of M. We observe that the Hessian D^2u is a symmetric 2-tensor over M, and $D^2u(X, Y)$ at $x \in M$ depends only on the values X, Y at x, and u in a small neighborhood of x. By the metric, the Hessian of u at x is canonically identified with a symmetric endomorphism of T_xM:

$$D^2u(x) \cdot X = \nabla_X \nabla u, \quad \forall X, Y \in T_xM.$$

We will write $D^2u(x)\,(X, Y) = \left\langle D^2u(x) \cdot X,\ Y\right\rangle$ for $X \in T_xM$. If ξ is a C^1-vector field on M, the divergence of ξ is defined as $\mathrm{div}\,\xi := \mathrm{tr}\,\{X \mapsto \nabla_X\xi\}$. For $u \in C^2(M)$, the Laplacian operator $\Delta u = \mathrm{tr}(D^2u)$ coincides with $\mathrm{div}(\nabla u)$.

Denote by R the Riemannain curvature tensor defined as

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y\nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M. For two linearly independent vectors $X, Y \in T_xM$, the sectional curvature of the plane generated by X and Y is defined as

$$\mathrm{Sec}(X, Y) := \frac{\langle R(X, Y)Y, X\rangle}{|X|^2|Y|^2 - \langle X, Y\rangle^2}.$$

For a unit vector $e \in T_xM$, we denote by $R(e)$ the Ricci transform of T_xM into itself defined by

$$R(e)X := R(X, e)e \quad \forall X \in T_xM.$$

Note that Ricci transform is symmetric. The Ricci curvature is the trace of the Ricci transform, which can be expressed as follows: for a unit vector $e \in T_xM$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$ of T_xM,

$$\mathrm{Ric}(e, e) = \sum_{j=2}^{n} \mathrm{Sec}(e, e_j).$$

As usual, $\mathrm{Ric} \ge \kappa$ on M ($\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$) stands for $\mathrm{Ric}_x \ge \kappa g_x$ for any $x \in M$. When dealing with a class of nonlinear elliptic operators, we involve Pucci's operator of Ricci transform instead of the trace operator; for $0 < \lambda \le \Lambda$, and for any $x \in M$ and any unit vector $e \in T_xM$, define

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) := \Lambda \sum_{\kappa_i < 0} \kappa_i + \lambda \sum_{\kappa_i > 0} \kappa_i,$$

where κ_i are the eigenvalues of $R(e)$. In the special case when $\lambda = \Lambda = 1$, Pucci's operator simply coincides with the trace operator, and hence $\mathcal{M}^-_{1,1}(R(e)) = \mathrm{Ric}(e, e)$. Notice that $\lambda\,\mathrm{Ric}(e,e) \geq \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))$, so a lower bound of $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))$ guarantees one for Ricci curvature.

Now we recall the volume comparison theorem assuming the Ricci curvature to be bounded from below. In terms of polar normal coordinates at $x \in M$, the area element of a geodesic sphere $\partial B_r(x)$ of radius r centered at x is written by $r^{n-1}A(r,\theta)d\theta$, where $A(r,\theta)$ is the Jacobian determinant of the map $\exp_x$ at $r\theta \in T_xM$. With the use of a standard theory of Jacobi fields, the Jacobian determinant of the exponential map has an upper bound depending on a lower bound of Ricci curvature; the proof can be found in [BC, Chapter 11]. Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison theorem relies on the Jacobian estimates, which says that the volume of balls does not increase faster than the volume of balls in the model space (see also [V]). In particular, the volume comparison implies the (locally uniform) volume doubling property.

Theorem 2.1 (Bishop-Gromov)**.** *Assume* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$.

(i) Let $x \in M$, $y \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$, *and* $\gamma(t) := \exp_x t\xi$ *be the minimizing geodesic joining* $x = \gamma(0)$ *to* $y = \gamma(1)$ *for* $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_x$. *For* $t \in [0,1]$, *let* $\mathbf{J}(t)$ *be the differential of* $\exp_x$ *at* $t\xi \in T_xM$, *namely,* $\mathbf{J}(t) := d\exp_x(t\xi)$. *Then*

$$t \mapsto \det \mathbf{J}(t) \cdot \mathscr{S}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}t|\xi|\right)^{-(n-1)}$$

is a nonincreasing function of $t \in (0,1]$, *where* $\mathscr{S}(\tau) := \sinh(\tau)/\tau$ *for* $\tau > 0$ *with* $\mathscr{S}(0) = 1$. *Furthermore, for* $t \in [0,1]$

$$0 < \det \mathbf{J}(t) \leq \mathscr{S}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}t|\xi|\right)^{n-1} = \left(\frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\,t|\xi|\right)}{\sqrt{\kappa}\,t|\xi|}\right)^{n-1}.$$

(ii) Let

$$V(r) := \omega_{n,\kappa}\int_0^r \sinh^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\,t\right)dt \quad \forall r > 0,$$

where $V(r)$ *denotes the volume of a ball of radius* r *in the* n*-dimensional space form of constant curvature* $-\kappa$. *Then*

$$r \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Vol}\,(B_r(x))}{V(r)}$$

is a nonincreasing function of $r > 0$. *In particular, for any* $0 < r < R$

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(B_{2r}(x))}{\mathrm{Vol}(B_r(x))} \leq 2^n \cosh^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R\right) =: \mathcal{D}, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ *is a so-called doubling constant.*

One can see that the doubling property (2) yields that for any $0 < r < R \leq R_0$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{Vol}\,(B_R(x))}{\mathrm{Vol}\,(B_r(x))} \leq \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\log_2 \mathcal{D}}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{D} := 2^n \cosh^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)$. According to the volume comparison, it is not difficult to prove the following lemma by a similar argument to the proof of [IS, Lemma 2.1] (see also [CC]).

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$. *Let* $E \subset F$ *be two open subsets in* $B_R(x) \subset M$. *Assume that for some* $\delta \in (0,1)$,

(a) if any ball $B \subset B_R(x)$ *satisfies* $|E \cap B| > (1-\delta)|B|$, *then* $B \subset F$,

(b) $|E| \leq (1-\delta)|B_R(x)|$.

Then there exists a constant $c_0 \in (0,1)$, *depending only on* n, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, *such that*

$$|E| \leq (1 - c_0\delta)|F|.$$

Using a standard theory of Jacobi fields, the Jacobian determinant of the exponential map involving a vector field has the following formula; we refer to [Ca, Lemma 3.2] for the proof, and see also [CMS] and [V, Chapter 14].

Lemma 2.3. *Let* ξ *be a smooth vector field on* M. *Define a map* $\phi(z) := \exp_z \xi(z)$. *For a given* $x \in M$, *assume that* $\xi(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x$, *and let* $y := \phi(x)$. *Then*

$$d\phi(x) \cdot X = d\exp_x(\xi(x)) \cdot \left\{ \left(\nabla\xi + D^2 d_y^2/2 \right)(x) \cdot X \right\} \quad \forall X \in T_xM,$$

and

$$\operatorname{Jac}\phi(x) = (\operatorname{Jac}\exp_x(\xi(x))) \cdot \left| \det \left(\nabla\xi + D^2 d_y^2/2 \right)(x) \right|,$$

where $\operatorname{Jac}\phi(x) := |\det d\phi(x)|$, $\operatorname{Jac}\exp_x(\xi(x))$ *is the Jacobian determinant of the map* $\exp_x$ *at* $\xi(x) \in T_xM$, *and* $\nabla\xi$ *denotes the covariant derivative of* ξ.

With the same notation as the lemma above, we define a map $\phi(x,t) := \exp_x t\xi(x)$ for $t \in [0,1]$, and assume that $\nabla\xi(x)$ is symmetric. From the next lemma, we observe that if $\left(\nabla\xi + D^2 d^2_{\phi(x,1)}/2\right)(x)$ is positive semi-definite, then $\left(t\nabla\xi + D^2 d^2_{\phi(x,t)}/2\right)(x)$ remains positive semi-definite for $t \in [0,1]$. Making appropriate modifications, this fact will play an important role when we deal with the approximation of singular operators in Section 4. The following lemma can be found in [CMS, Lemma 2.3]; see also [V, Chapter 14], in particular the third appendix.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $x \in M$ *and* $y \notin \operatorname{Cut}(x)$. *Let* $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ *be the minimizing geodesic joining* $\gamma(0) = x$ *to* $\gamma(1) = y$. *Then the self-adjoint operator*

$$D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x) - t\,D^2(d^2_{\gamma(1)}/2)(x)$$

defined on T_xM *is positive semi-definite for* $t \in [0,1]$.

An upper Hessian bound for the squared distance function is proved in [CMS, Lemma 3.12] using the formula for the second variation of energy provided that the sectional curvature is bounded from below. We quote it as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $x, y \in M$. *If* $\operatorname{Sec} \geq -\kappa$ $(\kappa \geq 0)$ *along a minimizing geodesic joining* x *to* y, *then for any* $X \in T_xM$ *with* $|X| = 1$,

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{d_y^2(\exp_x tX) + d_y^2(\exp_x -tX) - 2d_y^2(x)}{t^2} \leq 2\sqrt{\kappa}d_y(x)\coth\left(\sqrt{\kappa}d_y(x)\right).$$

The well-known Laplacian comparison theorem implies that if the Ricci curvature is bounded from below by $-(n-1)\kappa$ for $\kappa \geq 0$, then

$$\Delta\left(d_y^2/2(x)\right) \leq 1 + (n-1)\sqrt{\kappa}d_y(x)\coth\left(\sqrt{\kappa}d_y(x)\right) \quad \text{for } x \notin \operatorname{Cut}(y).$$

As a generalization, we are concerned with an upper estimate of Pucci's maximal operator for the squared distance function when $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))$ has a lower bound. The proof for the following lemma uses the formula for the second variation of the energy, and closely follows the argument in the proof of [CMS, Lemma 3.12]; see also [K, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.6. *For* $x \in M$ *and* $y \notin \operatorname{Cut}(x)$, *let* γ *be the minimizing geodesic joining* x *to* y. *Assume that* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$. *Then*

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2 d_y^2/2(x)\right) \leq \Lambda + (n-1)\Lambda\,\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}d_y(x)\right)$$

where $\mathscr{H}(\tau) := \tau\coth(\tau)$ *for* $\tau > 0$ *with* $\mathscr{H}(0) = 1$.

Proof. We may assume that $x \notin \mathrm{Cut}(y) \cup \{y\}$ since $D^2 d_y^2/2(y) = \mathbf{I}$. Let $\gamma : [0, l] \to M$ be the minimizing geodesic parametrized by arc length such that $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(l) = y$. We introduce an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ on T_xM such that $e_1 = \dot\gamma(0)$ and $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are eigenvectors of $D^2 d_y^2/2(x)$ on T_xM. Note that a unit vector $e_1 = -\nabla d_y(x)$ is an eigenvector of $D^2 d_y^2/2(x)$ associated with the eigenvalue 1. By the parallel transport, we extend $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ to $\{e_i(t)\}_{i=1}^n$ along $\gamma(t)$, and define the vector fields

$$X_i(t) = \alpha(t)e_i(t), \quad \text{for } \alpha(t) := \frac{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}(l-t)\right)}{\sinh\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}\, l\right)},$$

which satisfy $X_i(0) = e_i(0)$, and $X_i(l) = 0$. For small $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$, consider

$$\gamma_s^i(t) := f_i(s,t) = \exp_{\gamma(t)} sX_i(t),$$

which connects $\exp_x se_i$ to y. With the help of the Hölder inequality, we have that for $i = 2, \cdots, n$ and small $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$,

$$\frac{1}{2}d_y^2\left(\exp_x se_i\right) \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_0^l |\dot\gamma_s^i(t)|dt\right)^2 \le \frac{l}{2}\int_0^l |\dot\gamma_s^i(t)|^2 dt =: lE_i(s),$$

where the equality holds for $s = 0$, i.e., $d_y^2/2(x) = lE_i(0)$. This implies that

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 d_y^2/2(x)) = \Lambda + \sup_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \left\langle D^2 d_y^2/2(x) \cdot e_i, e_i \right\rangle \le \Lambda + \sup_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i l \left.\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\right|_{s=0} E_i(s)$$

from the definition of of the Pucci operator. Since for each $i = 2, \cdots n$, and $t \in [0, l]$, a curve $s \mapsto f_i(s,t)$ is also a geodesic, it follows from the formula for the second variation of the energy that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 d_y^2/2(x)) - \Lambda &\le \sup_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i l \left.\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\right|_{s=0} E_i(s) \\
&= \sup_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i l \int_0^l \left\{ |\dot X_i(t)|^2 - \langle R(X_i(t), \dot\gamma(t))\dot\gamma(t), X_i(t)\rangle \right\} dt \\
&\le (n-1)\Lambda l \int_0^l \dot\alpha(t)^2 dt - l \inf_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \int_0^l \alpha(t)^2 \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \langle R(e_i(t), \dot\gamma(t))\dot\gamma(t), e_i(t)\rangle\, dt \\
&= (n-1)\Lambda l \int_0^l \dot\alpha(t)^2 dt - l \inf_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \int_0^l \alpha(t)^2 \sum_{i=2}^n a_i \langle R(\dot\gamma(t)) \cdot e_i(t), e_i(t)\rangle\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of Pucci's operator and the assumption, one can check that for any $\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda$, $\sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i \langle R(\dot\gamma(t)) \cdot e_i(t), e_i(t)\rangle \ge \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(\dot\gamma(t))) \ge -(n-1)\kappa$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 d_y^2/2(x)) - \Lambda &\le (n-1)\Lambda l \int_0^l \dot\alpha(t)^2 dt - l \inf_{\lambda \le a_i \le \Lambda} \int_0^l \alpha(t)^2 \sum_{i=2}^{n} a_i \langle R(\dot\gamma(t)) \cdot e_i(t), e_i(t)\rangle \, dt \\
&\le (n-1)\Lambda l \int_0^l \left\{ \dot\alpha(t)^2 + \frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}\alpha(t)^2 \right\} dt \\
&= (n-1)\Lambda l \frac{\kappa}{\Lambda} \sinh^{-2}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}\, l \right) \int_0^l \cosh\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}(t-l) \right) dt \\
&= (n-1)\Lambda \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}\, l \coth\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}\, l \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. □

In [CMS, Proposition 2.5], it is shown that the cut locus of $y \in M$ is characterized as the set of points at which the squared distance function d_y^2 fails to be semi-convex.

Lemma 2.7 (Proposition 2.5 of [CMS])**.** *Let $x, y \in M$. If $x \in \mathrm{Cut}(y)$, then there is a unit vector $X \in T_xM$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{d_y^2(\exp_x tX) + d_y^2(\exp_x -tX) - 2d_y^2(x)}{t^2} = -\infty.$$

Corollary 2.8. *Let $x, y \in M$ and let ψ be a C^2-function in $(0,\infty)$ such that $\psi' > 0$ on $(0,\infty)$. If $x \in \mathrm{Cut}(y)$, then there is a unit vector $X \in T_xM$ such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{\psi\left(d_y^2(\exp_x tX)\right) + \psi\left(d_y^2(\exp_x -tX)\right) - 2\psi\left(d_y^2(x)\right)}{t^2} = -\infty.$$

Proof. By using the Taylor expansion, we have that for any unit vector $X \in T_xM$ and small $|t| \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\psi\left(d_y^2(\exp_x tX)\right) + \psi\left(d_y^2(\exp_x -tX)\right) - 2\psi\left(d_y^2(x)\right)}{t^2} \\
&= \psi'\left(d_y^2(x)\right) \frac{d_y^2(\exp_x tX) + d_y^2(\exp_x -tX) - 2d_y^2(x)}{t^2} \\
&+ \frac{\psi''(a(t))}{2} \left(\frac{d_y^2(\exp_x tX) - d_y^2(x)}{t} \right)^2 + \frac{\psi''(b(t))}{2} \left(\frac{d_y^2(\exp_x -tX) - d_y^2(x)}{t} \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ converge to $d_y^2(x) > 0$ as t tends to 0. From the triangle inequality, it follows that for any unit vector $X \in T_xM$,

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \left| \frac{d_y^2(\exp_x tX) - d_y^2(x)}{t} \right| \le 2d(y,x).$$

Therefore the result follows from Lemma 2.7 since $d_y^2(x) > 0$ and $\psi'(d_y^2(x)) > 0$. □

Lastly, we recall the definition of semiconcavity of functions on Riemannian manifolds.

Definition 2.9. *Let Ω be an open set of M. A function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be semiconcave at $x_0 \in \Omega$ if there exist a geodesically convex ball $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ with $0 < r < i_M(x_0)$, and a smooth function $\Psi : B_r(x_0) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $u + \Psi$ is geodesically concave in $B_r(x_0)$,* *where* $i_M(x_0)$ *denotes the injectivity radius at* x_0*. A function* u *is semiconcave in* Ω *if it is semiconcave at each point of* Ω*.*

For $C > 0$*, we say that a function* $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *is* C*-semiconcave at* $x_0 \in \Omega$ *if there exists a geodesically convex ball* $B_r(x_0) \subset \Omega$ *with* $0 < r < i_M(x_0)$ *such that* $u - Cd^2_{x_0}(x)$ *is geodesically concave in* $B_r(x_0)$*. A function* u *is* C*-semiconcave in* Ω *if it is* C*-semiconcave at each point of* Ω*.*

We have the local characterization of semiconcavity from [CMS, Lemma 3.11]. According to Lemma 2.5, the following lemma implies that the squared distance function is locally uniformly semiconcave.

Lemma 2.10. *Let* $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a continuous function and let* $x_0 \in \Omega$*, where* $\Omega \subset M$ *is open. Assume that there exist a neighborhood* U *of* x_0*, and a constant* $C > 0$ *such that for any* $x \in U$ *and* $X \in T_xM$ *with* $|X| = 1$*,*

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{u\left(\exp_x tX\right) + u\left(\exp_x -tX\right) - 2u(x)}{t^2} \le C.$$

Then u *is* C*-semiconcave at* x_0*.*

The following result by Bangert [B] is an extension of Aleksandrov's second differentiability theorem in the Euclidean space that a convex function is twice differentiable almost everywhere [A]; see also [V, Chapter 14].

Theorem 2.11 (Aleksandrov–Bangert)**.** *Let* $\Omega \subset M$ *be an open set and let* $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *be semiconcave. Then for almost every* $x \in \Omega$*,* u *is differentiable at* x*, and there exists a symmetric operator* $A(x) : T_xM \to T_xM$ *characterized by any one of the two equivalent properties:*

(a) *For any* $X \in T_xM$*,* $\quad A(x)\cdot X = \nabla_X \nabla u(x)$*,*

(b) $u(\exp_x X) = u(x) + \langle \nabla u(x), X\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle A(x)\cdot X, X\rangle + o\left(|X|^2\right) \qquad$ *as* $X \to 0$*.*

The operator $A(x)$ *and its associated symmetric bilinear from on* T_xM *are denoted by* $D^2u(x)$ *and called the Hessian of* u *at* x *when no confusion is possible.*

We refer to [CMS, V, AF] for more properties of semiconcave functions.

3. Viscosity solutions for singular operators

In this section, we study viscosity solutions to degenerate and singular operators of p-Laplacian type for $1 < p < \infty$ on Riemannian manifolds. As seen before, the p-Laplacian operator $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right)$ can be written in nondivergence form:

$$\Delta_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) D^2 u\right],$$

where a tensor product $X \otimes X$ for a vector field X over M is a symmetric bilinear form on TM defined by

$$(X \otimes X)(Y, Z) := \langle X, Y\rangle \langle X, Z\rangle \qquad \text{for } Y, Z \in TM.$$

The tensor product $X \otimes X$ is considered as a symmetric endomorphism: $X \otimes X \cdot Y = \langle X, Y\rangle X$, so we write $(X \otimes X)(Y, Z) = \langle X \otimes X \cdot Y, Z\rangle = \langle X, Y\rangle \langle X, Z\rangle$. For $p \ge 2$, the operator

$G(\nabla u, D^2u) = \Delta_p u$ is continuous with respect to ∇u and D^2u, while the p-Laplacian operator for $1 < p < 2$ becomes singular at the points with vanishing gradient. More generally, we are concerned with the degenerate and singular fully nonlinear equations given by

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} F\left(D^2u\right) + \langle b, \nabla u\rangle |\nabla u|^{p-2} = f,$$

where F is a uniformly elliptic operator and b is a bounded vector field over M. Let $\operatorname{Sym} TM$ be the bundle of symmetric 2-tensors over M. An operator $F : \operatorname{Sym} TM \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be uniformly elliptic with the so-called ellipticity constants $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda$ if the following holds: for any $S \in \operatorname{Sym} TM$, and for any positive semi-definite $P \in \operatorname{Sym} TM$,

$$\lambda \operatorname{trace}(P_x) \leq F(S_x + P_x) - F(S_x) \leq \Lambda \operatorname{trace}(P_x), \quad \forall x \in M. \tag{4}$$

As extremal cases of the uniformly elliptic operators, we recall Pucci's operators: for any $x \in M$, and $S_x \in \operatorname{Sym} TM_x$,

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(S_x) := \lambda \sum_{\mu_i<0} \mu_i + \Lambda \sum_{\mu_i>0} \mu_i, \quad \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(S_x) := \Lambda \sum_{\mu_i<0} \mu_i + \lambda \sum_{\mu_i>0} \mu_i,$$

where $\mu_i = \mu_i(S_x)$ are the eigenvalues of S_x. We observe that (4) is equivalent to the following: for any $S, P \in \operatorname{Sym} TM$,

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(P_x) \leq F(S_x + P_x) - F(S_x) \leq \mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(P_x) \quad \forall x \in M.$$

Assuming that $F(0) = 0$, we restrict ourselves to the degenerate and singular operators involving the Pucci operators.

For singular elliptic operators, we adopt the concept of viscosity solutions proposed by Birindelli and Demengel; see for instance, [BD, DFQ2, ACP] and the references therein. The notion of adapted viscosity solutions takes into account the fact that we can not test functions when the gradient of the test functions vanishes at the testing point.

Definition 3.1 (Viscosity solutions, [BD])**.** *Let* $(TM \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM := \{(\zeta, A) : \zeta \in T_xM \setminus \{0\}, A \in \operatorname{Sym} TM_x \ \forall x \in M\}$*, where* $\mathbf{0}$ *denotes the zero section. Let* $G : (TM \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM \to \mathbb{R}$*, and let* $\Omega \subset M$ *be an open set. For a function* $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$*, we say that* $u \in C(\Omega)$ *is a viscosity supersolution (respectively subsolution) of the equation*

$$G\left(\nabla u, D^2u\right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

if the following holds: for any $x \in \Omega$,

(i) either for any $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$ *such that* $u - \varphi$ *has a local minimum (respectively maximum) at* x *with* $\nabla\varphi(x) \neq 0$*, we have*

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi(x), D^2\varphi(x)\right) \leq f(x) \quad (\text{respectively} \geq),$$

(ii) or there exists a ball $B_\rho(x) \subset \Omega$ *for some* $\rho > 0$ *such that* u *is a constant function on* $B_\rho(x)$*, and* $f \geq 0$ *(respectively* $f \leq 0$*) on* $B_\rho(x)$*.*

We say u *is a viscosity solution if* u *is both a viscosity subsolution and a viscosity supersolution.*

The adapted definition is equivalent to the usual viscosity solution when the operator G defined in $TM \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM$ is continuous. To prove this fact, we modify the Euclidean argument in the proof of [DFQ2, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $\Omega \subset M$ *be an open set, and* $f \in C(\Omega)$*. Let* $G : TM \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM \to \mathbb{R}$ *be continuous such that*

$$G(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(\zeta, A) \leq 0 \tag{5}$$

for any $(\zeta, A) \in T_xM \times \operatorname{Sym} TM_x$ *with* $A \leq 0$ *for any* $x \in \Omega$. *Then* u *is a viscosity supersolution of*

$$G\left(\nabla u, D^2 u\right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{6}$$

in the sense of Definition 3.1 if and only if u *is a viscosity supersolution of* (6) *in the usual sense.*

Proof. First we assume that u is a viscosity solution in the usual sense. Then it is not difficult to show u is a viscosity solution in the sense of Definition 3.1 using the assumption that $G(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 0$.

We will prove that u is a viscosity solution in the usual sense if u is a viscosity solution in the sense of Definition 3.1. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\Omega)$, and $x_0 \in \Omega$ be such that $u - \varphi$ has a local minimum at x_0. We may assume that x_0 is a strict local minimum of $u - \varphi$. If u is a constant function in a small ball $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$ $(\rho > 0)$, then φ has a local maximum at x_0. Then we have $D^2\varphi(x_0) \leq 0$, and hence

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)\right) = G\left(0, D^2\varphi(x_0)\right) \leq 0 \leq f(x_0)$$

from the assumption (5) and Definition 3.1.

Now we assume that u is not constant in a small ball $B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$ and $\nabla\varphi(x_0) = 0$ since there is nothing to prove in the case $\nabla\varphi(x_0) \neq 0$. First, we consider the case that $D^2\varphi(x_0)$ is nonsingular. We introduce a coordinate map $\psi : B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Omega$ such that $\psi(0) = x_0$, where we assume that ρ is sufficiently small. Define

$$\tilde{u} := u \circ \psi \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\varphi} := \varphi \circ \psi.$$

Then $\tilde{u} - \tilde{\varphi}$ has a local minimum at 0 in $B_r(0)$, and $\tilde{u}$ is not constant in $B_r(0)$. One can check that $\nabla\tilde{\varphi}(0) = 0$, and $D^2\tilde{\varphi}(0)$ is nonsingular since $\nabla\varphi(x_0) = 0$ and $D^2\varphi(x_0)$ is nonsingular. Then $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the only critical point of $\nabla\tilde{\varphi}$ in $B_{r'}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for a small $0 < r' < r$. Using the argument in the proof of [DFQ2, Lemma 2.1], we find sequences $\{\tilde{\varphi}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^2(\overline{B}_{r'}(0))$, and $\{\tilde{x}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset B_{r'}(0)$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u} - \tilde{\varphi}_k \text{ has a local minimum at } \tilde{x}_k \text{ in } B_{r'}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \nabla\tilde{\varphi}_k(\tilde{x}_k) \neq 0 \quad \forall k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, \\ \tilde{\varphi}_k \to \tilde{\varphi} \quad \text{in } C^2(\overline{B}_{r'}(0)), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}_k \to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus there exist sequences $\{\varphi_k := \tilde{\varphi}_k \circ \psi^{-1}|_{\overline{B}_{\rho'}(x_0)}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset C^2(\overline{B}_{\rho'}(x_0))$, and $\{x_k := \psi(\tilde{x}_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset B_{\rho'}(x_0)$ for a sufficiently small $0 < \rho' < \rho$, such that

$$\begin{cases} u - \varphi_k \text{ has a local minimum at } x_k \text{ in } B_{\rho'}(x_0) \subset \Omega, \\ \nabla\varphi_k(x_k) \neq 0 \quad \forall k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, \\ \varphi_k \to \varphi \quad \text{in } C^2(\overline{B}_{\rho'}(x_0)), \quad \text{and} \quad x_k \to x_0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{cases}$$

From Definition 3.1, it follows that

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi_k(x_k), D^2\varphi_k(x_k)\right) \leq f(x_k) \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

and hence the continuity of the operator G and the function f implies that

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0)\right) \leq f(x_0).$$

In the case that $D^2\varphi(x_0)$ is singular, we consider for $\delta > 0$,

$$\varphi_\delta(x) := \varphi(x) - \delta d_{x_0}^2(x)/2 \quad \forall x \in B_\rho(x_0),$$

where we assume that $\rho > 0$ is small enough. For $\delta > 0$, the function $u - \varphi_\delta$ has a local minimum at x_0 in $B_\rho(x_0)$ with $\nabla\varphi_\delta(x_0) = \nabla\varphi(x_0) = 0$. Let $\delta_0 := \min_{\mu_i \neq 0} |\mu_i|$ for the eigenvalues μ_i of $D^2\varphi(x_0)$. For $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, the Hessian $D^2\varphi_\delta(x_0) = D^2\varphi(x_0) - \delta\mathbf{I}$ is nonsingular. Then we apply the previous argument to φ_δ to obtain

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi(x_0) - \delta\mathbf{I}\right) = G\left(\nabla\varphi_\delta(x_0), D^2\varphi_\delta(x_0)\right) \leq f(x_0).$$

Letting δ go to 0, we conclude that

$$G\left(\nabla\varphi(x_0), D^2\varphi_(x_0)\right) \leq f(x_0),$$

which finishes the proof. □

Lemma 3.2 asserts that a viscosity solution u to the p-Laplacian type equation for $p \geq 2$ with a continuous source term solves the equation in the usual viscosity sense.

For the operators with singularities ($1 < p < 2$), we make use of the following lemma in [ACP, Lemma 2]; see also [DFQ1].

Lemma 3.3. [ACP, Lemma 2] *Let $1 < p < 2$, and let u be a viscosity supersolution to $\Delta_p u \leq f$ in Ω with $f \geq 0$. Then u is a viscosity supersolution to*

$$\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}^-_{p-1,1}(D^2u) \leq f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for any $\delta > 0$.

According to Lemma 3.2, if u is a viscosity supersolution to the p-Laplacian equation for $1 < p < 2$ with a nonnegative, continuous source term, then u solves the regularized equations above in the usual viscosity sense.

Now we recall the sup- and inf-convolutions introduced by Jensen [J] (see also [CC, Chapter 5]) to approximate viscosity solutions. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a bounded open set, and u be continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, let u_ε denote the inf-convolution of u (with respect to Ω) defined as follows: for $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$,

$$u_\varepsilon(x_0) := \inf_{y \in \overline{\Omega}} \left\{ u(y) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} d^2(y, x_0) \right\}.$$

The sup-convolution of u denoted by u^ε is defined in a similar way. In the following lemmas, we quote the important properties of the inf-convolution from [KL, Section 3]; refer to [J] for the Euclidean case.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Omega \subset M$ be a bounded open set, and $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$. Assume that for any $x, y \in \overline{\Omega}$, the sectional curvature along the minimizing geodesic joining x to y has a uniform lower bound $-\kappa$ for $\kappa \geq 0$.*

(a) $u_\varepsilon \uparrow u$ uniformly in $\overline{\Omega}$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

(b) u_ε is Lipschitz continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$: for $x_0, x_1 \in \overline{\Omega}$,

$$|u_\varepsilon(x_0) - u_\varepsilon(x_1)| \leq \frac{3}{2\varepsilon} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega) d(x_0, x_1).$$

(c) u_ε is C_ε-semiconcave in Ω, where $C_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\kappa}\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)\coth\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\operatorname{diam}(\Omega)\right)$. For almost every $x \in \Omega$, u_ε is differentiable at x, and there exists the Hessian $D^2u_\varepsilon(x)$ in the sense of Theorem 2.11 such that

$$u_\varepsilon(\exp_x \xi) = u_\varepsilon(x) + \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon(x), \xi \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\left\langle D^2u_\varepsilon(x) \cdot \xi, \xi \right\rangle + o\left(|\xi|^2\right)$$

as $\xi \in T_xM \to 0$.

(d) $D^2u_\varepsilon \le \dfrac{1}{\varepsilon}\sqrt{\kappa}\,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\coth\Big(\sqrt{\kappa}\,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\Big)\mathbf{I}$ *a.e. in* Ω.

(e) *Let* H *be an open set such that* $\overline{H} \subset \Omega$. *Then there exist a smooth function* ψ *on* M *satisfying*

$$0 \le \psi \le 1 \text{ on } M, \quad \psi \equiv 1 \ \text{ in } \overline{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{supp}\,\psi \subset \Omega,$$

and a sequence $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ *of smooth functions on* M *such that*

$$\begin{cases} w_k \to \psi u_\varepsilon & \text{uniformly in } M \ \text{ as } k \to \infty, \\ |\nabla w_k| \le C, \ \ D^2 w_k \le C\mathbf{I} & \text{in } M, \\ \nabla w_k \to \nabla u_\varepsilon, \ \ D^2 w_k \to D^2 u_\varepsilon & \text{a.e. in } H \ \text{ as } k \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ *is independent of* k.

We define the second order superjet and subjet of semi-continuous functions.

Definition 3.5. *Let* $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a lower semi-continuous function on an open set* $\Omega \subset M$. *We define the second order subjet of* u *at* $x \in \Omega$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{2,-}u(x) := \Big\{ \Big(\nabla\varphi(x), D^2\varphi(x)\Big) \in T_xM \times \mathrm{Sym}\,TM_x : \varphi \in C^2(\Omega), \\ u - \varphi \ \text{ has a local minimum at } x \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(\zeta, A) \in \mathcal{J}^{2,-}u(x)$, ζ *and* A *are called a first order subdifferential and a second order subdifferential of* u *at* x, *respectively.*

Similarly, for an upper semi-continuous function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, *we define the second order superjet of* u *at* $x \in \Omega$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^{2,+}u(x) := \Big\{ \Big(\nabla\varphi(x), D^2\varphi(x)\Big) \in T_xM \times \mathrm{Sym}\,TM_x : \varphi \in C^2(\Omega), \\ u - \varphi \ \text{ has a local maximum at } x \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of [KL, Proposition 3.3], we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* H *and* Ω *be bounded open sets in* M *such that* $\overline{H} \subset \Omega$. *Assume that* $\mathrm{Sec} \ge -\kappa$ *on* Ω *for* $\kappa \ge 0$. *Let* $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *and let* ω *denote a modulus of continuity of* u *on* $\overline{\Omega}$, *which is nondecreasing on* $(0, +\infty)$ *with* $\omega(0+) = 0$. *For* $\varepsilon > 0$, *let* u_ε *be the inf-convolution of* u *with respect to* Ω. *Then there exists* $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ *depending only on* $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Omega})}$, H, *and* Ω, *such that if* $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, *then the following holds: let* $x_0 \in \overline{H}$, *and let* $y_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ *be such that*

$$u_\varepsilon(x_0) = u(y_0) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} d^2(y_0, x_0).$$

(a) y_0 *is an interior point of* Ω, *and there is a unique minimizing geodesic joining* x_0 *to* y_0, *which is contained in* Ω.

(b) *If* $(\zeta, A) \in \mathcal{J}^{2,-}u_\varepsilon(x_0)$, *then* $y_0 = \exp_{x_0}(-\varepsilon\zeta)$, *and*

$$\left(L_{x_0,y_0}\zeta,\ L_{x_0,y_0}A - \kappa \min\left\{ \varepsilon|\zeta|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon\|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Omega})}}\right)\right\}\mathbf{I}\right) \in \mathcal{J}^{2,-}u(y_0),$$

where L_{x_0,y_0} *stands for the parallel transport along the unique minimizing geodesic joining* x_0 *to* y_0, *and* $L_{x_0,y_0}A$ *is a symmetric bilinear form on* $T_{y_0}M$ *defined as*

$$\left\langle \left(L_{x_0,y_0}A\right)\cdot v,\ v\right\rangle_{y_0} := \left\langle A \cdot \left(L_{y_0,x_0}v\right),\ L_{y_0,x_0}v\right\rangle_{x_0} \quad \forall v \in T_{y_0}M.$$

Using Lemma 3.6, it can be proven that the inf-convolution solves approximated equation in the viscosity sense of Definition 3.1, provided that the sectional curvature is bounded from below, and the operator G is intrinsically uniformly continuous with respect to x. The intrinsic uniform continuity of the operator with respect to spatial variables is a natural extension of the Euclidean concept of uniform continuity of the operator with respect to x; we recall from [AFS] the definition and important examples.

Definition 3.7. *The operator G is intrinsically uniformly continuous with respect to x in $(TM \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM$ if there exists a modulus of continuity $\omega_G : [0,+\infty) \to [0,+\infty)$ with $\omega_G(0+) = 0$ such that*

$$G(\zeta, A) - G\left(L_{x,y}\zeta, L_{x,y}A\right) \leq \omega_G\left(d(x,y)\right) \tag{7}$$

for any $(\zeta, A) \in (T_xM \setminus \{0\}) \times \operatorname{Sym} TM_x$, and $x, y \in M$ with $d(x,y) < \min\{i_M(x),\, i_M(y)\}$, where $i_M(x)$ denotes the injectivity radius at x of M.

Example 3.8. (a) When $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, we have $L_{x,y}\zeta \equiv \zeta$, $L_{x,y}A \equiv A$ so (7) holds.

(b) Consider the operator G, which depends only on $|\zeta|$ and the eigenvalues of $A \in \operatorname{Sym} TM$, of the form :

$$G(\zeta, A) = \tilde{G}\left(|\zeta|, \text{ eigenvalues of } A\right) \quad \text{for some } \tilde{G}. \tag{8}$$

Since the parallel transport preserves inner products, we have $|\zeta| = |L_{x,y}\zeta|$, and A and $L_{x,y}A$ have the same eigenvalues. Thus the operator G satisfies intrinsic uniform continuity with respect to x with $\omega_G \equiv 0$. The trace and determinant of A are typical examples of the operator satisfying (8). The Pucci extremal operators also satisfy (8) and hence (7) with $\omega_G \equiv 0$.

(c) As seen before, the p-Laplacian operator can be expressed as

$$G\left(\nabla u, D^2u\right) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) D^2u\right].$$

One can check that for any $(\zeta, A) \in (T_xM \setminus \{0\}) \times \operatorname{Sym} TM_x$ and $x, y \in M$ with $d(x,y) < \min\{i_M(x),\, i_M(y)\}$,

$$\left\langle \left(L_{x,y}\zeta \otimes L_{x,y}\zeta\right) L_{x,y}A \cdot \nu,\, \nu \right\rangle_y = \left\langle (\zeta \otimes \zeta)\, A \cdot L_{y,x}\nu,\, L_{y,x}\nu \right\rangle_x \qquad \forall \nu \in T_yM.$$

Thus the p-Laplacian operator is intrinsically uniformly continuous in $(TM \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM$ with $\omega_G \equiv 0$.

By making use of Lemma 3.6, we have the following lemma whose proof is similar to [KL, Lemma 3.6].

Lemma 3.9. *Under the same assumption as Lemma 3.6, we also assume that G is intrinsically uniformly continuous in $(TM \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \times_M \operatorname{Sym} TM$. Let $f \in C(\Omega)$, and u be a viscosity supersolution to*

$$G\left(\nabla u, D^2u\right) \leq f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

If $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, then u_ε is a viscosity supersolution of

$$G_\varepsilon\left(\nabla u, D^2u\right) := G\left(\nabla u, D^2u - \kappa \min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla u|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon m}\right)\right\}\mathbf{I}\right) \leq f_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } H,$$

where $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is the constant as in Lemma 3.6, and

$$f_\varepsilon(x) := \sup_{\overline{B}_{2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}}(x)} f^+ + \omega_G\left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon m}\right); \quad m := \|u\|_{L^\infty(\overline{\Omega})}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$G\left(\nabla u_\varepsilon, D^2u_\varepsilon - \kappa \min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon m}\right)\right\}\mathbf{I}\right) \leq f_\varepsilon \quad \text{a.e. in } H \cap \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| > 0\}.$$

Remark 3.10. *Under the same assumption as Lemma 3.9, if*

$$G\left(\nabla u, D^2u\right) := \Delta_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)D^2u\right],$$

then u_ε satisfies

$$\Delta_p u_\varepsilon - \kappa(n+p-2)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{\varepsilon m}\right)\right\} \le f_\varepsilon \quad \textit{in } H \tag{9}$$

in the viscosity sense, and almost everywhere in $H \cap \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon| > 0\}$, where $\omega_G \equiv 0$ from Example 3.8. When $p \ge 2$, the p-Laplacian operator is continuous, and hence (9) *is satisfied almost everywhere in H by Lemma 3.2.*

4. ABP TYPE ESTIMATES

In this section, we establish the ABP type estimates for the p-Laplacian type operators for $1 < p < \infty$. We begin with the definition of the p-contact set involving the $\frac{p}{p-1}$-th power of the distance.

Definition 4.1 (Contact sets)**.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Let Ω be a bounded open set in M and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$. For a given $a > 0$ and a compact set $E \subset M$, the p-contact set associated with u of opening a with the vertex set E is defined by*

$$\mathcal{A}_a^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) := \left\{x \in \overline{\Omega} : \ \exists y \in E \textit{ such that } \inf_{\overline{\Omega}}\left\{u + a\frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + a\frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)\right\}.$$

When $p = 2$, a contact point is touched by a concave paraboloid from below, which is a squared distance function; refer to [WZ, Ca, K]. Notice that the p-contact set $\mathcal{A}_a^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)$ is a closed set.

4.1. **Jacobian estimates.**

First, we study Jacobian estimates for certain exponential maps which arise in the proof of the ABP type estimate.

Proposition 4.2 (Jacobian estimate on the contact set)**.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $E \subset M$ be a compact set, and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ be smooth in a bounded open set $\Omega \subset M$. Define $\phi_p : \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\} \times [0,1] \to M$ as*

$$\phi_p(z,t) := \exp_z t|\nabla u(z)|^{p-2}\nabla u(z).$$

Assume that $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$. Then the following holds.

(a) If $y \in E$ satisfies

$$\inf_{\overline{\Omega}}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x),$$

then

$$y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}, \quad \textit{and} \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x.$$

The curve $\gamma := \phi_p(x,\cdot) : [0,1] \to M$ is a unique minimizing geodesic joining x to $y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$.

(b) $|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \dfrac{2-p}{p-1}\dfrac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\dfrac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,1)}/2)(x)$ is symmetric and positive semi-definite.

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Jac}_z \phi_p(x,1)\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\\
&\quad\cdot \det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,1)}/2)(x)\right\}\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)\right)\\
&\quad\cdot \det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,1)}/2)(x)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)$ *stands for the Jacobian determinant of* $\exp_x$*, a map from* T_xM *to* M*, at the point* $|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\in T_xM$*.*

(d) For $t\in[0,1]$*, the operator* $t|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+t\,\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,t)}/2)(x)$ *is symmetric and positive semi-definite.*

(e) If $1<p<2$*, then for* $t\in(0,1]$

$$t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,t)}/2)(x),$$

is symmetric and positive semi-definite, and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Jac}_z \phi_p(x,t)\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\\
&\quad\cdot \det\left\{t|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,t)}/2)(x)\right\}\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot \det\left\{t|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\phi_p(x,t)}/2)(x)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) For any $x\in\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, we find $y\in E$ such that

$$\inf_{\Omega}\left\{u+\frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\}=u(x)+\frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x). \tag{10}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{p-1}{p}\left\{d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(z)-d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)\right\}\geq -u(z)+u(x)\quad \forall z\in\Omega.$$

We first claim that $x\notin\operatorname{Cut}(y)$ for $x\in\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\Omega$ (see also [WZ]). Suppose to the contrary that $x\in\operatorname{Cut}(y)$. Note that $x\neq y$ if $x\in\operatorname{Cut}(y)$. Letting $\psi_p(s):=\frac{p-1}{p}s^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}$, we have $\psi_p'>0$ in $(0,\infty)$. According to Corollary 2.8, there is a unit vector $X\in T_xM$ such that

$$\liminf_{t\to 0}\frac{\psi\left(d_y^2\left(\exp_x tX\right)\right)+\psi\left(d_y^2\left(\exp_x -tX\right)\right)-2\psi\left(d_y^2(x)\right)}{t^2}=-\infty,$$

which contradicts to

$$\begin{aligned}&\liminf_{t\to 0}\frac{\psi\left(d_y^2\left(\exp_x tX\right)\right)+\psi\left(d_y^2\left(\exp_x -tX\right)\right)-2\psi\left(d_y^2(x)\right)}{t^2}\\ &\geq -\lim_{t\to 0}\frac{u\left(\exp_x tX\right)+u\left(\exp_x -tX\right)-2u\left(x\right)}{t^2}=-\left\langle D^2u(x)\cdot X,X\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Hence x is not a cut point of y.

Since $x\notin \mathrm{Cut}(y)$ and $p>1$, (10) yields that

$$\nabla u(x)=-\frac{p-1}{p}\nabla d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)$$

from which we see that

$$y=x\iff \nabla u(x)=0.$$

Thus for $x\in\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega:|\nabla u(z)|>0\}$, we have $y\notin\mathrm{Cut}(x)\cup\{x\}$, and hence

$$\nabla u(x)=-d_y^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x)\nabla d_y(x),\quad |\nabla u(x)|=d_y^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(x),$$

and

$$y=\exp_x -\nabla d_y^2(x)/2=\exp_x|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\notin\mathrm{Cut}(x)\cup\{x\},$$

which implies (a).

(b) From (10), we have that for $y=\phi_p(x,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}D^2u(x)&\geq-\frac{p-1}{p}D^2d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x)\\ &=-d_y^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}(x)\left\{D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\right\}\\ &=-|\nabla u(x)|^{2-p}\left\{D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\right\},\end{aligned}$$

where we recall the symmetric operator $\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)$ defined by

$$\left\langle\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\cdot X,Y\right\rangle:=\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),X\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),Y\right\rangle\quad\forall X,Y\in T_xM.$$

Since $\nabla d_y(x)$ is an eigenvector of $D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)$ associated with the eigenvalue 1, we obtain that for $X,Y\in T_xM$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\cdot X,Y\right\rangle&=\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\cdot X\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),Y\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle X,D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\cdot\nabla d_y(x)\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),Y\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle X,\nabla d_y(x)\right\rangle\left\langle\nabla d_y(x),Y\right\rangle\\ &=\left\langle\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\cdot X,Y\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)=\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)=\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}0\leq&|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)\\ =&|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

which is symmetric.

(c) From Lemma 2.3, we have that for $y = \phi_p(x,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Jac}_z\,\phi_p(x,1) &= \mathrm{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\\ &\quad\cdot\left|\det\left\{|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\right|\\ &= \mathrm{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\\ &\quad\cdot\left|\det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (11) implies (c).

(d) According to Lemma 2.4, we see that for $t \in [0,1]$

$$D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x) - t\,D^2(d^2_{\gamma(1)}/2)(x) \geq 0.$$

Since $\nabla d_y(x) = -\frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|}$ is an eigenvector of $D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x)$ associated with the eigenvalue 1 for any $t \in [0,1]$, the above argument yields that

$$\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\circ D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x) = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x) = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\circ D^2(d^2_y/2)(x). \tag{12}$$

From (11), we deduce that for $t \in [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + t\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\gamma(1)}/2)(x)\\ &\leq t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I}+t\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

(e) Since $1 < p < 2$, (d) combined with (12) implies that

$$t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in (0,1].$$

Using Lemma 2.3 again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Jac}_z\,\phi_p(x,t) &= \mathrm{Jac}\exp_x\left(t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\\ &\quad\cdot\left|\det\left\{t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x)\right\}\right|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\det\left\{t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x)\right\}\right|\\ &= \det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)\right)\\ &\quad\cdot\det\left\{t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (e).

□

Proposition 4.2 holds for semiconcave functions for almost all contact points.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $E \subset M$ be a compact set, and $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ be semiconcave in a bounded open set $\Omega \subset M$. Denote by N a subset of Ω of measure zero such that u is twice differentiable in $\Omega \setminus N$ in the sense of the Theorem 2.11. Define $\phi_p : \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\} \times [0,1] \to M$ as*

$$\phi_p(z,t) := \exp_z t|\nabla u(z)|^{p-2}\nabla u(z).$$

Then Proposition 4.2 holds true for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$.

Proof. Using the semi-concavity, note that for any $z \in \Omega$, and a unit vector $X \in T_zM$,

$$\limsup_{t\to 0} \frac{u(\exp_z tX) + u(\exp_z -tX) - 2u(z)}{t^2} < \infty.$$

Fix a point $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Proposition 4.2 together with the above property, if $y \in E$ satisfes

$$\inf_{\overline{\Omega}}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x),$$

then $y = \phi_p(x,1) = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$, and $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x \setminus \{0\}$. Using Lemma 2.3, we deduce that for $(0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi_p(x,t) =& d\exp_x\left(t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right) \\ &\cdot \left\{t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \circ D^2u(x) + D^2(d^2_{\gamma(t)}/2)(x)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma(t) := \phi_p(x,t)$ is a minimizing geodesic joining x to $\phi_p(x,1) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$, and $D^2u(x)$ is the Hessian in the sense of Theorem 2.11; see also the proof of [CMS, Proposition 4.1]. The rest of the proof is the same as for Proposition 4.2. □

4.2. **Degenerate operators.** This subsection is devoted to the ABP type estimate for the p-Laplacian type operators with $2 \le p < \infty$.

Theorem 4.4 (ABP type estimate)**.** *Assume that $2 \le p < \infty$ and $\mathrm{Ric} \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ for $\kappa \ge 0$. For a bounded open set $\Omega \subset M$, let $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ be smooth in Ω. For a compact set $E \subset M$, we assume that*

$$\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega.$$

Then we have

$$|E| \le \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\left\{\frac{\Delta_p u(x)}{n} + \mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\right\}^n dx,$$

where $\mathscr{H}(\tau) := \tau\coth(\tau)$, and $\mathscr{S}(\tau) := \sinh(\tau)/\tau$ for $\tau > 0$ with $\mathscr{H}(0) = \mathscr{S}(0) = 1$. In particular, if $\mathrm{Ric} \ge 0$, we have

$$|E| \le \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \left\{\frac{\Delta_p u(x)}{n} + 1\right\}^n dx.$$

Proof. For any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$, we find $y \in E$ such that

$$\inf_{\Omega}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x). \tag{13}$$

From the argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have

$$y = x \iff \nabla u(x) = 0.$$

When $|\nabla u(x)| = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$, we have $y = x = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$ in (13). If $|\nabla u(x)| > 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$, then Proposition 4.2 says that

$$y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}, \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x.$$

Now we define the map $\Phi_p : \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \to M$ as

$$\Phi_p(x) := \exp_x |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x),$$

which coincides with $\phi_p(x,1)$ in Proposition 4.2. From the argument above and the definition of $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, we observe that

$$E = \Phi_p\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\right). \tag{14}$$

since we assume $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$.

By using Proposition 4.2 together with Theorem 2.1, the arithmetic-geometric means inequality yields that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_p(x) = \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\\
&\cdot \det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \circ D^2u(x) + D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right) \cdot \det\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)\right)\\
&\cdot \det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\\
&\le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u|^{p-1}(x)\right)\left[\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \circ D^2u(x) + D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\right]^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $y = \Phi_p(x)$, and we used at the last line the arithmetic-geometric means inequality:

$$\det(AB) \le \left\{\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}(AB)\right\}^n$$

for symmetric nonnegative matrices A and B. From Lemma 2.6, it follows that for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_p(x) &\le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\left\{\frac{\Delta_p u(x) + 1 + (n-1)\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\, d_{\Phi_p(x)}(x)\right)}{n}\right\}^n\\
&= \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\left\{\frac{\Delta_p u(x) + 1 + (n-1)\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)}{n}\right\}^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_p u$ is continuous in Ω for $p \ge 2$, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_p \le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left\{\frac{\Delta_p u}{n} + \mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}^n \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \tag{15}$$

since $\mathscr{H}(\tau) \ge 1$ for $\tau \ge 0$. For $p \ge 2$, the map Φ_p is of class C^1, and hence Lipschitz continuous on a compact set $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$; notice that $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x$ for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$. Therefore, the result follows from the area formula with the help of (14) and (15). □

The following ABP type estimate is concerned with the nonlinear operators of p-Laplacian type.

Corollary 4.5. *Assume that* $2 \le p < \infty$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \ge 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$*. For a bounded open set* $\Omega \subset M$*, let* $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *be smooth in* Ω *such that* $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) \le f$ *in* Ω*. For a compact set* $E \subset M$*, we assume that* $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$*. Then we have*

$$|E| \le \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \frac{(p-1)}{n^n\lambda^n}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left\{f^+ + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}^n dx.$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{Ric} \ge 0$*, we have*

$$|E| \le (p-1)\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}\left\{\frac{f^+(x)}{n\lambda} + \frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right\}^n dx.$$

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 4.4, it suffices to estimate the Jacobian determinant of $\Phi_p(x) := \exp_x |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$ on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ in terms of the Pucci operator. First we note that $\operatorname{Ric}(e,e) \ge \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))/\lambda \ge -(n-1)\kappa/\lambda$ for any unit vector $e \in TM$. According to Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 2.1, we have that for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_p(x) = \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\\
&\cdot\det\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\\
&\le (p-1)\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\right]^n\\
&= (p-1)\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right\}\right]^n\\
&\le \frac{(p-1)}{n^n\lambda^n}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left\{|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2u\right) + \mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(\left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right)\right\}^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where $y = \Phi_p(x)$. From (12), we notice that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2d_y^2/2(x) = D^2d_y^2/2(x) + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\nabla d_y(x)\otimes\nabla d_y(x)$$

since $\nabla d_y(x) = -\frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|}$ is an eigenvector of $D^2d_y^2/2(x)$ associated with the eigenvalue 1 for $y = \Phi_p(x) = \exp_x|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$. By using Lemma 2.6, we deduce that

$$\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(\left(\mathbf{I} + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d_y^2/2)(x)\right) \le \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}d_y(x)\right),$$

and hence for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_p(x) \le \frac{(p-1)}{n^n\lambda^n}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left\{f^+ + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}^n.$$

Since $p \ge 2$, this estimate holds for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, and then the result follows from (14) and the area formula. □

From Theorem 4.4, we obtain the ABP type estimate of viscosity supersolutions for p-Laplacian operators with the help of regularization by inf-convolution.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume that* $2 \le p < \infty$ *and* $\mathrm{Ric} \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \ge 0$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \le R \le R_0$, *assume that* $\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *Let* $f \in C\left(B_R(x_0)\right)$ *and* $u \in C\left(\overline{B}_R(x_0)\right)$ *be such that*

$$\Delta_p u \le f \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0)$$

in the viscosity sense,

$$u \ge 0 \quad \text{on } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u \le \frac{p-1}{p}. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$|B_r(z_0)| \le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) \int_{\{u \le \tilde{M}_p\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p f^+}{n} \right\}^n \tag{17}$$

for a uniform constant $\tilde{M}_p := \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. *Moreover, if* $r^p f \le 1$ *in* $B_{4r}(z_0)$, *then there exists a uniform constant* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *depending only on* n, p *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, *such that*

$$\left| \left\{ u \le \tilde{M}_p \right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0) \right| > \delta |B_{4r}(z_0)|. \tag{18}$$

Proof. (i) First, we claim that for $u \in C^\infty(B_R(x_0)) \cap C\left(\overline{B}_R(x_0)\right)$ satisfying (16),

$$|B_r(z_0)| \le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) \int_{\left\{u < \tilde{M}_p,\, r^p |\nabla u|^{p-1} < 2R_0\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p \left(\Delta_p u\right)^+}{n} \right\}^n. \tag{19}$$

According to Theorem 4.4, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_R(x_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} u\right) \subset B_{4r}(z_0) \cap \left\{ u < \tilde{M}_p,\ r^p |\nabla u|^{p-1} < 2R_0 \right\} \tag{20}$$

since $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{H}$ are nondecreasing functions in $[0, \infty)$. Indeed, for a fixed $y \in \overline{B}_r(z_0)$, we consider

$$w_y := r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} u + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

Using (16), we have

$$r^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} w_y \ge 0 + \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \quad \text{outside } B_{4r}(z_0),$$

$$\inf_{B_r(z_0)} r^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} w_y \le \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} 2^{\frac{p}{p-1}} < \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

Then we find a point $x \in B_{4r}(z_0)$ such that

$$\inf_{B_R(x_0)} r^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} w_y = r^{-\frac{p}{p-1}} w_y(x) = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{d_y(x)}{r} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} < \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}.$$

Proposition 4.2 implies that $|r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \nabla u(x)|^{p-1} = d_y(x) < 8r \le 2R_0$. Then we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_{4r}(z_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} u\right) &= \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_R(x_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} u\right) \\ &\subset B_{4r}(z_0) \cap \{u < \tilde{M}_p,\ r^p |\nabla u|^{p-1} < 2R_0\} \end{aligned}$$

for $\tilde{M}_p := \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 1$. Thus, (19) follows from Theorem 4.4.

(ii) Now we assume that u is a continuous viscosity supersolution. Let $\eta > 0$. According to Lemma 3.4, and Remark 3.10, the inf-convolution u_ε of u with respect to $\overline{B}_R(x_0)$ (for small $\varepsilon > 0$) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \to u \quad \text{uniformly in } B_R(x_0), \\ u_\varepsilon \geq -\eta \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0), \qquad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u_\varepsilon \leq \dfrac{p-1}{p} + \eta, \\ \Delta_p u_\varepsilon - \varepsilon\tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p \leq f_\varepsilon \quad \text{a.e. in } B_{4r}(z_0), \end{cases}$$

where $-\tilde{\kappa}$ ($\tilde{\kappa} \geq 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on $\overline{B}_R(x_0)$, and

$$f_\varepsilon(z) := \sup_{B_{2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}}(z)} f^+, \quad \forall z \in B_{4r}(z_0); \quad m := \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))}.$$

According to Lemma 3.4, we approximate u_ε by a sequence $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of smooth functions which satisfy the following:

$$\begin{cases} w_k \to u_\varepsilon \quad \text{uniformly in } B_{4r}(z_0), \\ w_k \geq -2\eta \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0), \qquad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} w_k \leq \dfrac{p-1}{p} + 2\eta, \\ |\nabla w_k| \leq C, \;\; D^2 w_k \leq C\mathbf{I} \quad \text{uniformly in } M \text{ with respect to } k, \\ \nabla w_k \to \nabla u_\varepsilon, \;\; D^2 w_k \to D^2 u_\varepsilon \quad \text{a.e. in } B_{4r}(z_0) \;\; \text{as } k \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

for a uniform constant $C > 0$ independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we apply (19) to the function $\tilde{w}_k := \frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}(w_k + 2\eta)$ to obtain

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) \int_{\{\tilde{w}_k < \tilde{M}_p,\, r^p|\nabla \tilde{w}_k|^{p-1} < 2R_0\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p\left(\Delta_p \tilde{w}_k\right)^+}{n} \right\}^n .$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ and $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon := \frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}(u_\varepsilon + 2\eta)$, we deduce that

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) \int_{\{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \leq \tilde{M}_p,\, r^p|\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \leq 2R_0\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p\left(\Delta_p \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)^+}{n} \right\}^n$$

since $\left(\Delta_p \tilde{w}_k\right)^+$ is uniformly bounded with respect to k, and converges to $\left(\Delta_p \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)^+$ almost everywhere in $B_{4r}(z_0)$ as k tends to ∞. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Delta_p \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)^+ &= \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1} \left(\Delta_p u_\varepsilon\right)^+ \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1} \{\varepsilon\tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p + f_\varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(p-1)/p+4\eta}{(p-1)/p}\right) \varepsilon\tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)(r^{-p}2R_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1} f_\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere in $\left\{r^p|\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \leq 2R_0\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)$, we deduce that

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) \int_{\{u \leq \tilde{M}_p\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p f^+}{n} \right\}^n$$

by letting ε and η go to 0. Lastly, the above estimate implies (18) with the help of Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison. □

We also have the ABP type estimate for viscosity supersolutions to nonlinear p-Laplacian type equations.

Corollary 4.7. *Assume that $2 \le p < \infty$, and $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ with $\kappa \ge 0$ for any unit vector $e \in TM$. For $z_0, x_0 \in M$ and $0 < r \le R \le R_0$, assume that $\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. Let $\beta \ge 0$, and $u \in C(\overline{B}_R(x_0))$ be such that*

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \le r^{-p} \quad \textit{in } B_R(x_0)$$

in the viscosity sense,

$$u \ge 0 \quad \textit{on } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0) \quad \textit{and} \quad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u \le \frac{p-1}{p}. \tag{21}$$

Then there exists a uniform constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ depending only on $n, p, \sqrt{\kappa}R_0, \lambda, \Lambda$, and βR_0, such that

$$\left|\left\{u \le \tilde{M}_p\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)\right| > \delta |B_{4r}(z_0)|$$

for $\tilde{M}_p = \frac{p-1}{p}3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to one for Lemma 4.6 replacing Theorem 4.4 by Corollary 4.5. We use the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.6, and notice that (20) follows from (21). As in the proof of Lemma 4.6, let $\eta > 0$, and let u_ε be the inf-convolution of u with respect to $\overline{B}_R(x_0)$ for small $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying Corollary 4.5 to approximating smooth functions for u_ε from Lemma 3.4, we deduce that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|B_r(z_0)| \le{}& \frac{(p-1)}{n^n\lambda^n}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}R_0\right)\\ &\cdot \int_{\left\{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon \le \tilde{M}_p,\, r^p|\nabla \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \le 2R_0\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{\frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}R_0\right) + r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\left(\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\right)^+\right\}^n,\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon := \dfrac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p + 4\eta}(u_\varepsilon + 2\eta)$. Since the Pucci operators are intrinsically uniformly continuous with $\omega_G \equiv 0$, Lemma 3.9 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\left(\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\right)^+ &= \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\left(\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u_\varepsilon)\right)^+\\ &\le \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1}\left\{\varepsilon\tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p + \beta|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} + r^{-p}\right\}\\ &\le \frac{(p-1)/p+4\eta}{(p-1)/p}\varepsilon\tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda(r^{-p}2R_0)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + 2\beta R_0 r^{-p} + \left(\frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p+4\eta}\right)^{p-1} r^{-p}\end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere in $\left\{r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \le 2R_0\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)$. Therefore, letting ε and η go to 0, we obtain

$$|B_r(z_0)| \le \frac{p-1}{n^n\lambda^n}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}R_0\right)\int_{\left\{u \le \tilde{M}_p\right\}\cap B_{4r}(z_0)}\left\{\frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}R_0\right) + 2\beta R_0 + 1\right\}^n,$$

from which the result follows by using Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison. $\square$

4.3. **Singular operators.** Now we consider the p-Laplacian type operator for $1 < p < 2$. In this case, the operator $\Delta_p u$ becomes singular when its gradient vanishes. To deal with singularities, we make use of a regularized operator

$$\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}^-_{p-1,1}(D^2 u)$$

for any $\delta > 0$; see Lemma 3.3. So we first show the ABP type estimate for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators.

Theorem 4.8 (ABP type estimate)**.** *Assume that* $1 < p < 2$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *For a bounded open set* $\Omega \subset M$, *let* $f \in C(\Omega)$, *and* $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *be smooth in* Ω *such that* $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 u) \leq f$ *in* Ω *in the viscosity sense. For a compact set* $E \subset M$, *we assume that*

$$\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \subset \Omega.$$

Then we have

$$|E| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+ + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}\right]^n.$$

Proof. From Definition 3.1, it is not difficult to see that u is a viscosity supersolution to

$$\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 u) \leq f^+ \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{22}$$

for any $\delta > 0$ since $1 < p < 2$; refer to the proof of [ACP, Lemma 2]. Moreover, u is a viscosity supersolution to (22) in the usual sense according to Lemma 3.2 since the regularized operator (for a given $\delta > 0$) is continuous with respect to ∇u and $D^2 u$. Thus, we deduce that u solves (22) in the classical sense.

For $\delta > 0$, define a regularized map $\Phi_{p,\delta} : \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \to M$ as

$$\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) := \exp_x \left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x).$$

Note that if $|\nabla u(x)| > 0$, then

$$\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) = \phi_p\left(x, t_\delta(x)\right) \quad \text{for } t_\delta(x) := \left(\frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \in (0,1),$$

where $\phi_p(x,t) := \exp_x t|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$ for $t \in [0,1]$ as in Proposition 4.2. We also define $\Phi_{p,0} : \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\} \to M$ by

$$\Phi_{p,0}(x) := \exp_x |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x).$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \subset \Omega$, there is $y \in E$ such that

$$\inf_{\Omega}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x). \tag{23}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \subset \Omega$, the argument in the proof of Proposition 4.2 asserts that for each $x \in \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)$, a point $y \in E$ satisfying (23) is not a cut point of x. In fact, y is uniquely determined, and the map $\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \ni x \mapsto y \in E$ is well-defined as

$$y = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } |\nabla u(x)| = 0, \\ \exp_x |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) = \Phi_{p,0}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}, & \text{for } |\nabla u(x)| > 0, \end{cases}$$

which is surjective. Moreover, when $|\nabla u(x)| = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)$, we have $y = x = \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x)$ in (23) for any $\delta > 0$, and $D^2u(x) \geq -\frac{p-1}{p} D^2 d_x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x) = 0$ since $\frac{p}{p-1} > 2$. If $|\nabla u(x)| > 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)$, then

$$y = \exp_x |\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) = \Phi_{p,0}(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \Phi_{p,\delta}(x).$$

In the latter case, the curve $\gamma(t) := \phi_p(x,t) = \exp_x t\, |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u(x)$ is a unique minimizing geodesic joining $\gamma(0) = x$ to $\gamma(1) = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$ with velocity $\dot{\gamma}(0) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x \setminus \{0\}$, and $\gamma(t_\delta(x)) = \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x)$ for $\delta > 0$.

Notice that for each $\delta > 0$, the regularized map $\Phi_{p,\delta}$ is Lipschitz continuous on a compact set $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \subset \Omega$ since

$$\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0. \tag{24}$$

Now we claim that

$$|E| \leq \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) dx. \tag{25}$$

From the argument above, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \Phi_{p,0}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}\right) \bigcup \left\{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}\right\} \\ &= \Phi_{p,0}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}\right) \bigcup \Phi_{p,\delta}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}\right) \quad \forall \delta > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we note $\Phi_{p,\delta}\big|_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}}$ is the identity for $\delta > 0$. Letting $A_k := \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| \geq 1/k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$E = \Phi_{p,0}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) \bigcup \Phi_{p,\delta}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}\right) \quad \forall \delta > 0. \tag{26}$$

Notice that $\left|\Phi_{p,0}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right)\right| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left|\Phi_{p,0}(A_k)\right|$, and the map $\Phi_{p,0}\big|_{A_k}$ is Lipschitz continuous for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ since $|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x \setminus \{0\}$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}$. We use the area formula to obtain that for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left|\Phi_{p,0}(A_k)\right| \leq \int_{A_k} \mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,0}(x) dx.$$

Lemma 2.3 yields that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}$, and for $\tilde{\delta} \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,\tilde{\delta}}(x) = \mathrm{Jac} \exp_x \left(\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{\delta}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x)\right) \\ &\cdot \left|\det\left\{\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{\delta}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2) \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{\delta}}} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \tilde{\delta}}}\right) \circ D^2u(x) + D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\tilde{\delta}}(x)}/2)(x)\right\}\right|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence we use Fatou's lemma to have

$$\left|\Phi_{p,0}(A_k)\right| \leq \int_{A_k} \mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,0} \leq \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_{A_k} \mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,\delta} \leq \liminf_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}} \mathrm{Jac}\, \Phi_{p,\delta}.$$

Thus it follows from (26) and the area formula that

$$\begin{aligned}|E| &\le \limsup_{\delta\to 0}\left\{\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{|\nabla u|>0\}} \operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)dx + \left|\Phi_{p,\delta}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{|\nabla u|=0\}\right)\right|\right\}\\ &\le \limsup_{\delta\to 0}\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)dx\end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi_{p,\delta}$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ for each $\delta > 0$. This finishes the proof of (25).

Now we will prove a uniform estimate for Jacobian determinant of $\Phi_{p,\delta}$ on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ withe respect to $\delta > 0$. From (24) and Lemma 2.3, we have that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&d\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) = d\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\\ &\quad\circ\left\{\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\circ D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)\right\}\\ &\quad= d\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\circ\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\\ &\quad\circ\left\{\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{(2-p)|\nabla u|^2}{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, we define the endomorphism $H_\delta(x)$ on T_xM by

$$H_\delta(x) := \left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}D^2u(x)+\left(\mathbf{I}+\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)\circ D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x).$$

We prove that $H_\delta(x)$ is symmetric, and positive semi-definite for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$. In fact, for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$, it follows from (e) of Proposition 4.2 since $\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) = \phi_p\left(x, t_\delta(x)\right)$ with $t_\delta(x) = \left(\frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2+\delta}{|\nabla u(x)|^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \in (0,1)$. For $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega : |\nabla u(z)| = 0\}$, $H_\delta(x)$ is symmetric and positive definite since $D^2u(x) \ge -\frac{p-1}{p}D^2d_x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x) = 0$, and $D^2(d_x^2/2)(x) = \mathbf{I}$.

From (12), recall that if $|\nabla u(x)| > 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, then

$$\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\circ D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x) = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x).$$

Thus we have

$$H_\delta(x) = \left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}D^2u(x)+D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)+\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{2-p}{p-1}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x),$$

which is symmetric and positive semi-definite. Now we claim that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\det H_\delta &\le \left(\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}H_\delta\right)^n\\ &\le \left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+ + \Lambda + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)+\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{2-p}{p-1}\Lambda\right\}\right]^n =: h(x).\end{aligned}\tag{27}$$

Since H_δ is symmetric and positive semi-definite, the arithmetic-geometric means inequality combined with (22) implies that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\det H_\delta(x))^{\frac{1}{n}} &\le \frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr} H_\delta(x) = \frac{1}{n\lambda}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(H_\delta(x)) \\
&\le \frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2u(x)\right)+\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)\right)\right\} \\
&+\frac{1}{n\lambda}\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{2-p}{p-1}\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)\right) \\
&\le \frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+(x)+\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)\right)+\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{2-p}{p-1}\Lambda\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x)\right) &\le \Lambda+(n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}\,d\left(x,\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)\right)\right) \\
&\le \Lambda+(n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

since $\mathscr{H}(\tau)$ is nondecreasing for $\tau \ge 0$. This proves (27).

In terms of the operator H_δ, we have that for $x \in \mathscr{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) = d\exp_x&\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\circ\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right) \\
&\circ\left\{H_\delta(x)-\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)\right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where we used again that $\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\circ D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x) = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}(x)$ for $x \in \mathscr{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega : |\nabla u(z)|>0\}$. We notice that

$$|\det d\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)| = \det H_\delta(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega : |\nabla u(z)|=0\}. \tag{28}$$

Now let $x \in \mathscr{A}^p_1\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{z\in\Omega : |\nabla u(z)|>0\}$, and let $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_xM with $e_1 = \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|}$. Denote

$$H_{\delta,ij} := \left\langle H_\delta(x)e_j, e_i\right\rangle,$$

and let $\tilde{H}_\delta$ be the $(n-1)\times(n-1)$-matrix that results from $\left(H_{\delta,ij}\right)$ by removing the 1-st row and the 1-st column, which is symmetric and positive semi-definite. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) &= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\left|\det\left(H_{\delta,ij}-\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\delta_{1i}\delta_{1j}\right)\right|\\
&= \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\left|\det H_\delta-\frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\det\tilde{H}_\delta\right|\\
&\le \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\left\{\det H_\delta+\frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\det\tilde{H}_\delta\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(1\cdot\det\tilde{H}_\delta\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\le\frac{1}{n}\left(1+\operatorname{tr}\tilde{H}_\delta\right)\le\frac{1}{n}\left(1+\operatorname{tr}H_\delta\right)$, it follows from (27) that

$$\det H_\delta(x)\le h(x),\quad\text{and}\quad\det\tilde{H}_\delta(x)\le\left\{\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}H_\delta(x)\right\}^n\le\left\{\frac{1}{n}+h(x)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right\}^n,\qquad\forall\delta>0,$$

and hence
(29)

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) &\le \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\cdot\det\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\otimes\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2+\delta}}\right)\\
&\quad\cdot\left\{\det H_\delta(x)+\frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{tr}H_\delta(x)\right)^n\right\}\\
&\le \operatorname{Jac}\exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u|^2+\delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x)\right)\left\{h(x)+\frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta\,(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\left(\frac{1}{n}+h(x)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (27), (28) and Theorem 2.1, we deduce that for $x\in\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)\le\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\left\{h(x)+\frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta\,(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\left(\frac{1}{n}+h(x)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n\right\}$$

since $\operatorname{Ric}(e,e)\ge\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))/\lambda\ge-(n-1)\kappa/\lambda$ for any unit vector $e\in TM$, and $\mathscr{S}(\tau)$ is nondecreasing for $\tau\ge0$. Notice that the last term $\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\frac{\delta\,(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\left(\frac{1}{n}+h(x)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n$ is uniformly bounded with respect to $\delta>0$, and converges pointwise to 0 for any $x\in\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ as δ tends to 0. Therefore, the dominated convergence theorem asserts that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\limsup_{\delta\to0}\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)dx\\
&\le\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\cdot h(x)dx\\
&\le\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^++\frac{\Lambda}{p-1}+(n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}\right]^n dx,
\end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof together with (25) $\square$

Now we show that Theorem 4.8 holds true for semiconcave functions.

Lemma 4.9. *Assume that* $1 < p < 2$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *For a bounded open set* $\Omega \subset M$, *let* $f \in C(\Omega)$ *and* $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *be* C_0*-semiconcave in* Ω *for* $C_0 > 0$ *such that* $|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) \leq f$ *in* Ω *in the viscosity sense. For a compact set* $E \subset M$, *we assume that*

$$\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega.$$

Then we have

$$|E| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+ + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\right\}\right]^n.$$

Proof. First, we prove that u is differentiable on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$. It suffices to prove subdifferentiability of u on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ since u is superdifferentiable in Ω from semiconcavity. Indeed, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, there is $y \in E$ such that

$$\inf_{\Omega}\left\{u + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right\} = u(x) + \frac{p-1}{p}d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x). \tag{30}$$

As seen in the proofs of Corollary 4.3 and Proposition 4.2, x is not a cut point of y since u is semiconcave in Ω. Since $d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ is of class C^2 on $M \setminus \mathrm{Cut}(y)$ for $1 < p < 2$, it follows from (30) that u is subdifferential at $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$. Hence u is differentiable at any $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$. Notice that u is locally Lipschitz continuous in Ω from the semiconcavity, and then $|\nabla u|$ is uniformly bounded in a compact set $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$.

As in the proof of Theorem 4.8, for $\delta > 0$, define a regularized map $\Phi_{p,\delta} : \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \to M$ as

$$\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) := \exp_x\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u(x).$$

We also define $\Phi_{p,0} : \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\} \to M$ by

$$\Phi_{p,0}(x) := \exp_x|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x).$$

Since u is differentiable on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$, a similar argument to the proofs of Proposition 4.2 (a), and Theorem 4.8 yields that for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, a point $y \in E$ satisfying (30) is not a cut point of x. In fact, y is uniquely determined, and the map $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \ni x \mapsto y \in E$ is well-defined as

$$y = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } |\nabla u(x)| = 0, \\ \exp_x|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) = \Phi_{p,0}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}, & \text{for } |\nabla u(x)| > 0, \end{cases}$$

which is surjective. Moreover, when $|\nabla u(x)| = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, we have $y = x = \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x)$ in (30) for any $\delta > 0$. If $|\nabla u(x)| > 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, then

$$y = \exp_x|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) = \Phi_{p,0}(x) = \lim_{\delta\to 0}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x).$$

In the latter case, the curve $\gamma(t) := \phi_p(x,t) = \exp_x t\,|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x)$ is a unique minimizing geodesic joining $\gamma(0) = x$ to $\gamma(1) = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$ with velocity $\dot\gamma(0) = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x \setminus \{0\}$, and $\gamma(t_\delta(x)) = \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \notin \mathrm{Cut}(x)$ for $\delta > 0$, where $t_\delta(x) := \left(\frac{|\nabla u(x)|^2}{|\nabla u(x)|^2+\delta}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \in (0,1)$. We remark that

$$\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x) \in \mathcal{E}_x, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0, \tag{31}$$

and the above argument implies that

$$E = \Phi_{p,0}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}\right) \bigcup \Phi_{p,\delta}\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}\right) \quad \forall \delta > 0. \tag{32}$$

According to Theorem 2.11, u is twice differentiable almost everywhere in Ω since u is semiconcave in Ω. So there is a set $N \subset \Omega$ of measure zero such that u is twice differentiable in $\Omega \setminus N$, and then u satisfies

$$\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2 u) \le f^+ \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus N \quad \text{for any } \delta > 0, \tag{33}$$

where D^2u is the Hessian in the sense of Theorem 2.11. Using (31), (33) and Corollary 4.3, a similar argument to the proof of Theorem 4.8 yields the following Jacobian estimate: for any $\delta > 0$ and for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \setminus N$,

$$\operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \le \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right)\left\{h(x) + \frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}\,\delta\,(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2+\delta\}}\left(\frac{1}{n} + h(x)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)^n\right\},$$

where

$$h(x) := \left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+(x) + \Lambda + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-1}\right) + \chi_{\{|\nabla u|>0\}}(x)\Lambda\frac{2-p}{p-1}\right\}\right]^n.$$

From the dominated convergence theorem, we deduce that

(34)

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{\delta\to0}\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)dx\\ &\le \int_{\mathcal{A}_a^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+ + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1}\right\}\right]^n dx\end{aligned}$$

since N has measure zero.

Following the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we claim that

$$|E| \le \limsup_{\delta\to0}\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \operatorname{Jac}\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)dx. \tag{35}$$

In order to prove (35), we will show that ∇u is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \subset \Omega$ by proving the following: there is a uniform constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for each $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, there exists $0 < r_0 < \min(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}})$ such that

$$|\nabla u(x_1) - L_{x_2,x_1}\nabla u(x_2)| \le C_1\, d(x_1,x_2), \quad \forall x_1, x_2 \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right), \tag{36}$$

where L_{x_2,x_1} is the parallel transport along the minimizing geodesic joining x_2 to x_1, and $i_{\overline{\Omega}} > 0$ and $c_{\overline{\Omega}} > 0$ are the minimum of the injectivity radius and the convexity radius of $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, respectively. See [AF, Definition 1.2] for the notions of $C^{1,1}$ smoothness.

Once Lipschitz continuity of ∇u on a compact set $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ is achieved, we deduce Lipschitz continuity of the maps $\Phi_{p,0}\big|_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{|\nabla u|\ge1/k\}}$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, and $\Phi_{p,\delta}\big|_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}$ by using

(31) since the exponential map $\exp|_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{E} \to M$ is smooth. For the proof of (35), we apply the area formula to Lipschitz maps $\Phi_{p,0}\big|_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\cap\{|\nabla u|\geq 1/k\}}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), and $\Phi_{p,\delta}\big|_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.8. Here, we remark that the area formula on Riemannian manifolds follows from the area formula in Euclidean space using a partition of unity. So the above notion of Lipschitz continuity on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, which is uniformly locally Lipschitz, suffices to employ the area formula in our setting. Thus a similar argument to the proof of (25) in Theorem 4.8 with the help of Lipschitz continuity and (32) yields (35), and therefore it follows from (34) that

$$|E| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{f^+ + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}|\nabla u|^{p-1}\right) + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1}\right\}\right]^n dx.$$

To complete the proof, it remains to show Lipschitz continuity of ∇u on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$. We claim that there is a uniform constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for each $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, there is $0 < r_0 < \min(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}})$ such that for any $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$,

$$\left|u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle\right| \leq C_1 d^2(x,z), \quad \forall z \in B_{r_0}(x_0). \tag{37}$$

We put off the proof of (37), and first prove that this claim (37) implies (36). Assume that the claim holds. Let us fix $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, and let $0 < r_0 < \min(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}})$ be a constant satisfying (37). Let $x_1, x_2 \in B_{r_0/2}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, $r := d(x_1,x_2)$ and $V := \exp_{x_1}^{-1} x_2$. From (37), it follows that for any $z = \exp_{x_2} L_{x_1,x_2}X$ with $X \in T_{x_1}M$ and $|X| \leq r/4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left\langle \nabla u(x_2), \exp_{x_2}^{-1} z\right\rangle - \left\langle \nabla u(x_1), \exp_{x_1}^{-1} z - \exp_{x_1}^{-1}(x_2)\right\rangle\right| \\
=&\left|u(z) - u(x_1) - \left\langle \nabla u(x_1), \exp_{x_1}^{-1} z\right\rangle - \left\{u(z) - u(x_2) - \left\langle \nabla u(x_2), \exp_{x_2}^{-1} z\right\rangle\right\}\right. \\
&\left.- \left\{u(x_2) - u(x_1) - \left\langle \nabla u(x_1), \exp_{x_1}^{-1} x_2\right\rangle\right\}\right| \\
\leq& C_1\left\{d^2(x_1,z) + d^2(x_2,z) + d^2(x_1,x_2)\right\} \\
<& C_1\left\{(d(x_1,x_2) + d(x_2,z))^2 + (r/4)^2 + r^2\right\} < 6C_1r^2
\end{aligned}$$

since $d(z,x_0) \leq d(z,x_2) + d(x_2,x_0) < r/4 + r_0/2 < r_0$. Setting $z = \exp_{x_1} W = \exp_{x_2} L_{x_1,x_2}X$ for $W \in T_{x_1}M$, we can rewrite that

$$\begin{aligned}
6C_1r^2 &> \left|\left\langle \nabla u(x_2), \exp_{x_2}^{-1} z\right\rangle - \left\langle \nabla u(x_1), \exp_{x_1}^{-1} z - \exp_{x_1}^{-1}(x_2)\right\rangle\right| \\
&= \left|\langle \nabla u(x_2), L_{x_1,x_2}X\rangle - \langle \nabla u(x_1), W - V\rangle\right| \\
&= \left|\langle L_{x_2,x_1}\nabla u(x_2) - \nabla u(x_1),\ X\rangle - \langle \nabla u(x_1), W - X - V\rangle\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Recall from [AF, Claim 5.3] that for a fixed point x_0, there are $r_2 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|W - X - V| \leq C_2\left(|V| + |X|\right)^3$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in B_{r_2}(x_0)$ and $X \in T_{x_1}M$ with $|X| \leq 2r_2$, where $V = \exp_{x_1}^{-1} x_2$, and $W = \exp_{x_1}^{-1}\left(\exp_{x_2} L_{x_1,x_2}X\right)$. Thus we can choose $0 < r_0 < r_2$ sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \nabla u(x_1), W - X - V\rangle| &\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\right)} \cdot C_2\left(|V| + |X|\right)^3 \\
&\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty\left(\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)\right)} \cdot C_2 \cdot 8r^3 \leq C_1r^2,
\end{aligned}$$

since $|\nabla u|$ is uniformly bounded on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, and $|X| \le r/4 = |V|/4 < r_0/2$. Therefore, by selecting $r_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, we obtain from (38) that for any $x_1, x_2 \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ with $r := d(x_1, x_2)$, and $X \in T_{x_1}M$ with $|X| \le r/4$,

$$\left|\left\langle L_{x_2,x_1}\nabla u(x_2) - \nabla u(x_1),\ X\right\rangle\right| < 7C_1 r^2,$$

and hence (36) follows since $X \in B_{r/4}(0) \subset T_{x_1}M$ is arbitrary. We refer to [IS, Proposition 3.5] for the Euclidean case.

Lastly, we prove (37) by making use of semi-concavity and (30) combined with Lemma 2.5. Let us fix $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$. Due to C_0-semiconcavity, there is small $0 < r_0 < \min(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}})$ such that $u - C_0 d_{x_0}^2$ is geodesically concave in $B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega$. From differentiability of u on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$, we deduce that for $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right)$ and $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle &\le C_0\left\{d_{x_0}^2(z) - d_{x_0}^2(x) - \left\langle \nabla d_{x_0}^2(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle\right\} \\ &\le 2C_0\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\tilde\kappa} r_0\right) d^2(x,z) \le 2C_0\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\tilde\kappa}\, i_{\overline{\Omega}}\right) d^2(x,z) \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

by using the Taylor expansion and Lemma 2.5, where $-\tilde\kappa$ ($\tilde\kappa \ge 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on $\overline{\Omega}$.

On the other hand, if $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}$, then (30) is satisfied with $y = x$, and it follows that for any $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle &= u(z) - u(x) \\ &\ge -\frac{p-1}{p} d_x^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(z) \ge -(2r_0)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} d^2(x,z) \ge -(2i_{\overline{\Omega}})^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} d^2(x,z) \end{aligned}$$

since $1 < p < 2$. This proves (37) for $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| = 0\}$. Here, the constants $2C_0\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\tilde\kappa}\, i_{\overline{\Omega}}\right)$ and $(2i_{\overline{\Omega}})^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}$ are uniform.

Now, consider $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}$. Note that the corresponding $y \in E$ in (30) does not belong to $\mathrm{Cut}(x) \cup \{x\}$ as seen before. Let $\tilde r := \min\left(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}}\right)/5 > 0$. We may assume that $0 < r_0 < \tilde r$. If $d(x,y) \le 3\tilde r$, then $\frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ is of class C^2 in $B_{r_0}(x_0)$ since $d(z,\tilde y) < 5\tilde r$ for $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ and $\tilde r = \min\left(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}}\right)/5$. From (30), we see that for $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle &\ge -\frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(z) + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x) + \left\langle \frac{p-1}{p}\nabla d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x), \exp_x^{-1} z\right\rangle \\ &\ge -(5\tilde r)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}\left\{\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\tilde\kappa'}\, 5\tilde r\right) + \frac{2-p}{p-1}\right\} d^2(z,x) \end{aligned}$$

by using the Taylor expansion and Lemma 2.5 again, where $-\tilde\kappa'$ ($\tilde\kappa' \ge 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on a bounded set $\{z' \in M : d(z',x') \le 5\tilde r,\ x' \in \overline{\Omega}\}$.

When $d(x,y) > 3\tilde r$, we define $\tilde y := \exp_x -3\tilde r\, \nabla d_y(x) \in \partial B_{3\tilde r}(x)$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x) = \left\{d_{\tilde y}(x) + d_y(\tilde y)\right\}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \\ &d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(z) \le \left\{d_{\tilde y}(z) + d_y(\tilde y)\right\}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde r < d(z,\tilde y) < 5\tilde r, \quad \forall z \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset B_{2r_0}(x) \end{aligned}$$

since we assume $0 < r_0 < \tilde r$. Let $\rho(z) := \frac{p-1}{p}\left\{d_{\tilde y}(z) + d_y(\tilde y)\right\}^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. Since $\tilde r < d(z,\tilde y) < 5\tilde r$ for any $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \subset \Omega$ and $\tilde r = \min\left(i_{\overline{\Omega}}, c_{\overline{\Omega}}\right)/5$, ρ is smooth in $B_{r_0}(x_0)$, and (30) implies that for $z \in B_{r_0}(x_0)$,

$$u(z) - u(x) \geq -\frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(z) + \frac{p-1}{p} d_y^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x) \geq -\rho(z) + \rho(x),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z \right\rangle &\geq -\rho(z) + \rho(x) + \left\langle \nabla \rho(x), \exp_x^{-1} z \right\rangle \\ &\geq - \left\langle D^2 \rho(\sigma(s)) \cdot \dot{\sigma}(s), \dot{\sigma}(s) \right\rangle^+ d^2(z,x)/2 \end{aligned}$$

for some $s \in [0, d(x,z)]$, where σ is the minimal geodesic joining x to z parametrized by arc length. Note that $\sigma([0, d(x,z)]) \subset B_{r_0}(x_0)$, and $\tilde{r} < d(\tilde{y}, \sigma(s)) < 5\tilde{r}$. Using Lemma 2.5, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle D^2 \rho(\sigma(s)) \cdot \dot{\sigma}(s), \dot{\sigma}(s) \right\rangle &\leq \left\{ d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s)) + d_y(\tilde{y}) \right\}^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left\{ \left(d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s)) + d_y(\tilde{y}) \right) \left\langle D^2 d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s)) \cdot \dot{\sigma}(s), \dot{\sigma}(s) \right\rangle^+ + 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ 5\tilde{r} + d_y(x) \right\}^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{d_y(\tilde{y})}{d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s))} \right) d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s)) \left\langle D^2 d_{\tilde{y}}(\sigma(s)) \cdot \dot{\sigma}(s), \dot{\sigma}(s) \right\rangle^+ + 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ 5\tilde{r} + d_y(x) \right\}^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left\{ \left(1 + d_y(x)/\tilde{r} \right) \mathscr{H} \left(5 \sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}'}\, \tilde{r} \right) + 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$u(z) - u(x) - \left\langle \nabla u(x), \exp_x^{-1} z \right\rangle \geq - \left(5\tilde{r} + \tilde{d} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left\{ \left(1 + \tilde{d}/\tilde{r} \right) \mathscr{H} \left(5 \sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}'}\, \tilde{r} \right) + 1 \right\} d^2(z,x)$$

for $\tilde{d} := \operatorname{diam}(\Omega \cup E)$, where $\left(5\tilde{r} + \tilde{d} \right)^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}} \left\{ \left(1 + \tilde{d}/\tilde{r} \right) \mathscr{H} \left(5 \sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}'}\, \tilde{r} \right) + 1 \right\}$ depends only on p, Ω and E. This finishes the proof of (37) for $x \in B_{r_0}(x_0) \cap \mathcal{A}_1^p \left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u \right) \cap \{ |\nabla u| > 0 \}$ together with (39). Thus we have proved (37). Therefore we conclude that ∇u is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{A}_1^p \left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u \right)$, which completes the proof. □

Making use of Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, we prove the ABP type estimate for the p-Laplacian operator $(1 < p < 2)$ assuming the Ricci curvature to be bounded from below.

Lemma 4.10. *Assume that* $1 < p < 2$ *and* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *For a bounded open set* $\Omega \subset M$, *let* $f \in C(\Omega)$ *and* $u \in C\left(\overline{\Omega}\right)$ *be* C_0*-semiconcave in* Ω *for* $C_0 > 0$ *such that* $\Delta_p u \leq f$ *in* Ω *in the viscosity sense. For a compact set* $E \subset M$, *we assume that* $\mathcal{A}_1^p \left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u \right) \subset \Omega$. *Then*

$$|E| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p \left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u \right)} \frac{\mathscr{S}^{n-1} \left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \right)}{(p-1)^n} \left\{ \frac{f^+}{n} + \mathscr{H} \left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \right) \right\}^n dx.$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$, *then*

$$|E| \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p \left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u \right)} \frac{1}{(p-1)^n} \left(\frac{f^+}{n} + 1 \right)^n dx.$$

Proof. First, we recall from Lemma 3.3 that u satisfies

$$\left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{p-1,1}^- (D^2 u) \leq f^+ \tag{40}$$

in Ω for any $\delta > 0$ in the sense of Definition 3.1 and in the usual sense from Lemma 3.2. Using the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 4.9, semiconcavity implies that (40) is satisfied pointwise in $\Omega \setminus N$ with the Hessian $D^2 u$ in the sense of Theorem 2.11, where u is twice differentiable in $\Omega \setminus N$, and a set $N \subset \Omega$ has measure zero.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.9, for $\delta > 0$, consider a regularized map $\Phi_{p,\delta} : \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \to M$ defined as $\Phi_{p,\delta}(x) := \exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x)\right)$. From Lemma 4.9, $\Phi_{p,\delta}$ is Lipschitz continuous in $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)$ for each $\delta > 0$, and

$$|E| \leq \limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right)} \operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) dx. \tag{41}$$

It suffices to obtain a uniform estimate of $\operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}$ on $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \setminus N$ with respect to $\delta > 0$ in terms of a lower bound $-\kappa$ of the Ricci curvature. First, let $R_0 := \operatorname{diam}(\Omega \cup E)$ and $z_0 \in \Omega$. We choose $\tilde{\kappa} \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}^-_{p-1,1}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\tilde{\kappa} \quad \text{for any unit vector } e \in T_xM \text{ and } x \in \overline{B}_{2R_0}(z_0). \tag{42}$$

Note from Lemma 4.9 that $\operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}$ is uniformly bounded for $\delta > 0$ in terms of $-\tilde{\kappa}$ using (40) and (42). With the same notation as the proofs of Theorem 4.8 and Lemma 4.9, (29) yields that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \setminus N$,

(43)

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) \leq \operatorname{Jac} \exp_x\left(\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x)\right) \cdot \det\left(\mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \delta}} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \delta}}\right) \\ \cdot \left\{\det H_\delta(x) + \frac{\chi_{\{|\nabla u| > 0\}}\, \delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\}} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} H_\delta(x)\right)^n\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall

$$H_\delta(x) := \left(|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} D^2u(x) + \left(\mathbf{I} + \chi_{\{|\nabla u| > 0\}} \frac{2-p}{p-1} \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) \circ D^2(d^2_{\Phi_{p,\delta}(x)}/2)(x),$$

which is symmetric and positive semi-definite. Using (40) and (42), it follows from the proof of (27) that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \setminus N$,

(44)

$$\begin{aligned} \det H_\delta(x) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} H_\delta(x)\right)^n \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{n(p-1)} \left\{f^+ + 1 + (n-1)\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}}\, |\nabla u|^{p-1}\right) + \chi_{\{|\nabla u| > 0\}} \frac{2-p}{p-1}\right\}\right]^n =: \tilde{h}(x). \end{aligned}$$

From (28) and (44), we have that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : \nabla u(z) = 0\}$,

$$\operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) = \det H_\delta(x) \leq \left[\frac{1}{n(p-1)} \left\{f^+(x) + n\right\}\right]^n. \tag{45}$$

For $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$, let

$$D_\delta(x) := \mathbf{I} + (p-2)\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \delta}} \otimes \frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{|\nabla u|^2 + \delta}}.$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and the arithmetic-geometric means inequality, we deduce from (43) and (44) that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta}(x) &\leq \operatorname{Jac} \exp_x \left(\left(|\nabla u(x)|^2 + \delta \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u(x) \right) \\
&\quad \cdot \left\{ \det (D_\delta \circ H_\delta) + \frac{\delta(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\}} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} H_\delta \right)^n \right\} \\
&\leq \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \right) \cdot \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} (D_\delta \circ H_\delta) \right)^n + \frac{\delta\,(2-p)}{(p-1)\{(p-1)|\nabla u|^2 + \delta\}} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \tilde{h}(x)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^n \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

since Ric $\geq -(n-1)\kappa$, and D_δ and H_δ are symmetric and positive semi-definite. Notice that for $x \in \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \cap \{z \in \Omega \setminus N : |\nabla u(z)| > 0\}$,

$$\operatorname{tr} (D_\delta \circ H_\delta)(x) \quad \text{converges to } \Delta_p u(x) + \Delta d_y^2(x)/2$$

for $y = \exp_x |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \notin \operatorname{Cut}(x)$ as δ tends to 0, and

$$\Delta_p u(x) + \Delta d_y^2(x)/2 \leq f^+(x) + 1 + (n-1)\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u(x)|^{p-1} \right)$$

from Lemma 2.6. Since $0 \leq \operatorname{tr} (D_\delta \circ H_\delta) \leq \operatorname{tr} H_\delta \leq n\tilde{h}^{\frac{1}{n}}$ in $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E; \overline{\Omega}; u\right) \setminus N$, and $N \subset \Omega$ has measure zero, we apply the dominated convergence theorem to deduce that

$$\limsup_{\delta \to 0} \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}} \operatorname{Jac} \Phi_{p,\delta} \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(E;\overline{\Omega};u\right) \cap \{|\nabla u| > 0\}} \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \right) \left\{ \frac{f^+}{n} + \mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa} |\nabla u|^{p-1} \right) \right\}^n .$$

This combines with (45) and (41) to complete the proof. □

The following lemma is concerned with the ABP type estimate for viscosity solutions.

Lemma 4.11. *Assume that* $1 < p < 2$ *and* Ric $\geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \leq R \leq R_0$, *assume that* $\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *Let* $f \in C(B_R(x_0))$ *and* $u \in C\left(\overline{B}_R(x_0)\right)$ *be such that*

$$\Delta_p u \leq f \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0),$$

in the viscosity sense,

$$u \geq 0 \quad \text{on } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u \leq \frac{p-1}{p}. \tag{46}$$

Then

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \frac{\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)}{(p-1)^n} \int_{\{u \leq \tilde{M}_p\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)} \left\{ \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right) + \frac{r^p f^+}{n} \right\}^n$$

for a uniform constant $\tilde{M}_p := \frac{p-1}{p} 3^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$. *Moreover, if* $r^p f \leq 1$ *in* $B_{4r}(z_0)$, *then there exists a uniform constant* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *depending only on* n, p *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, *such that*

$$\left| \left\{ u \leq \tilde{M}_p \right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0) \right| > \delta |B_{4r}(z_0)|. \tag{47}$$

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$. According to Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.10, the inf-convolution u_ε of u with respect to $\overline{B}_R(x_0)$ (for small $\varepsilon > 0$) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \to u \quad \text{uniformly in } B_R(x_0), \\ u_\varepsilon \geq -\eta \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0), \qquad \displaystyle\inf_{B_r(z_0)} u_\varepsilon \leq \frac{p-1}{p} + \eta, \\ u_\varepsilon \text{ is } C_\varepsilon\text{-semiconcave in } B_R(x_0), \\ \Delta_p u_\varepsilon - \tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2} \min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \leq f_\varepsilon \text{ in } B_{4r}(z_0) \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where $-\tilde{\kappa}$ ($\tilde{\kappa} \geq 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on $\overline{B}_{3R}(x_0)$, $C_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}}\,R\right)$, and

$$f_\varepsilon(z) := \sup_{B_{2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}}(z)} f^+, \quad \forall z \in B_{4r}(z_0); \quad m := \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))}.$$

Then we show that

$$\Delta_p u_\varepsilon \leq f_\varepsilon + \tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0) \text{ in the viscosity sense.}$$

Indeed, let $\varphi \in C^2(B_{4r}(z_0))$ be such that $u_\varepsilon - \varphi$ has a local minimum at x with $\nabla\varphi(x) \neq 0$. If $0 < |\nabla\varphi(x)| \leq 1$, then $|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2}\min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla\varphi|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \leq \varepsilon|\nabla\varphi|^p \leq \varepsilon$. If $|\nabla\varphi(x)| > 1$, then $|\nabla\varphi|^{p-2}\min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla\varphi|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \leq 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)$. So it follows that

$$\Delta_p\varphi(x) \leq f_\varepsilon(x) + \tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\}.$$

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$c_\eta := \frac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p + 2\eta}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}_\varepsilon := c_\eta\left(u_\varepsilon + \eta\right),$$

which satisfies (46) and

$$r^p\Delta_p\tilde{u}_\varepsilon = r^p c_\eta^{p-1}\Delta_p u_\varepsilon \leq r^p c_\eta^{p-1}\left[f_\varepsilon + \tilde{\kappa}n\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\}\right] \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0)$$

in the viscosity sense. Using (46), a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 4.6 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_{4r}(z_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right) &= \mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_R(x_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right) \\ &\subset B_{4r}(z_0) \cap \{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon < \tilde{M}_p,\ r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} < 2R_0\} \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

for $\tilde{M}_p := \frac{p-1}{p}3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 1$, where we note that $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ is differentiable in $\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_{4r}(z_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)$. Using (48), we apply Lemma 4.10 to $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ in order to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |B_r(z_0)| &\leq \frac{\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)}{n^n(p-1)^n}\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^p\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0);\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0);r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)}\left[r^p c_\eta^{p-1}\left\{f_\varepsilon + \tilde{\kappa}n\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\}\right\} + n\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)\right]^n \\ &\leq \frac{\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)}{n^n(p-1)^n}\int_{B_{4r}(z_0)\cap\{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon<\tilde{M}_p\}}\left[r^p c_\eta^{p-1}\left\{f_\varepsilon + \tilde{\kappa}n\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\}\right\} + n\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)\right]^n. \end{aligned}$$

Letting ε go to 0 and then η go to 0, we conclude that

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \frac{\mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)}{(p-1)^n}\int_{\{u\leq\tilde{M}_p\}\cap B_{4r}(z_0)}\left\{\frac{r^p f^+}{n} + \mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)\right\}^n.$$

Lastly, (47) follows from Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. *Assume that* $1 < p < 2$, *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \leq R \leq R_0$, *assume that* $\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *Let* $\beta \geq 0$, *and* $u \in C(\overline{B}_R(x_0))$ *be such that*

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0)$$

in the viscosity sense,

$$u \geq 0 \quad \text{on } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u \leq \frac{p-1}{p}. \tag{49}$$

Then there exists a uniform constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ *depending only on* $n, p, \sqrt{\kappa}R_0, \lambda, \Lambda$, *and* βR_0, *such that*

$$\left|\left\{u \leq \tilde{M}_p\right\} \cap B_{4r}(z_0)\right| > \delta|B_{4r}(z_0)|$$

for $\tilde{M}_p = \frac{p-1}{p}3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 4.11 by replacing Lemma 4.10 by Lemma 4.9. Let $\eta > 0$. Making use of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.9, the inf-convolution u_ε of u with respect to $\overline{B}_R(x_0)$ (for small $\varepsilon > 0$) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon \to u \quad \text{uniformly in } B_R(x_0), \\ u_\varepsilon \geq -\eta \quad \text{in } B_R(x_0) \setminus B_{4r}(z_0), \qquad \inf_{B_r(z_0)} u_\varepsilon \leq \dfrac{p-1}{p} + \eta, \\ u_\varepsilon \text{ is } C_\varepsilon\text{-semiconcave in } B_R(x_0), \\ |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}\left(D^2u_\varepsilon\right) - \tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\min\left\{\varepsilon|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} - \beta|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \leq r^{-p} \ \text{ in } B_{4r}(z_0) \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where $-\tilde{\kappa}$ ($\tilde{\kappa} \geq 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on $\overline{B}_{3R}(x_0)$, $C_\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}}R\right)$, and $m := \|u\|_{L^\infty(B_R(x_0))}$.

For small $\varepsilon > 0$, consider $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon := \dfrac{(p-1)/p}{(p-1)/p + 2\eta}(u_\varepsilon + \eta) = c_\eta(u_\varepsilon + \eta)$. Arguing similarly as in the proof of Lemma 4.11 together with (49), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_{4r}(z_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right) &= \mathcal{A}^p_1\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0); \overline{B}_R(x_0); r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right) \\ &\subset B_{4r}(z_0) \cap \{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon < \tilde{M}_p,\ r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} < 2R_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) \leq c_\eta^{p-1}\left[r^p\tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} + 1\right] + 2\beta R_0$$

in $B_{4r}(z_0) \cap \{r^p|\nabla\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^{p-1} < 2R_0\}$ in the viscosity sense. Applying Lemma 4.9 to $r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |B_r(z_0)| \leq{}& \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}R_0\right)\int_{\mathcal{A}^p_1\left(\overline{B}_r(z_0);\overline{B}_{4r}(z_0);r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\right)}\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{c_\eta^{p-1}\left(r^p\tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} + 1\right)\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. + 2\beta R_0 + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}R_0\right)\right\}\right]^n dx \\ \leq{}& \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}R_0\right)\int_{B_{4r}(z_0)\cap\{\tilde{u}_\varepsilon<\tilde{M}_p\}}\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{c_\eta^{p-1}\left(r^p\tilde{\kappa}n\Lambda\max\left\{\varepsilon, 2\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\} + 1\right)\right.\right. \\ &\left.\left. + 2\beta R_0 + \frac{\Lambda}{p-1} + (n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}R_0\right)\right\}\right]^n dx. \end{aligned}$$

Letting ε and η go to 0, we conclude that

$$|B_r(z_0)| \leq \mathscr{S}^{n-1}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\lambda}}R_0\right)\int_{\{u\leq \tilde{M}_p\}\cap B_{4r}(z_0)}\left[\frac{1}{n\lambda}\left\{1+2\beta R_0+\frac{\Lambda}{p-1}+(n-1)\Lambda\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\Lambda}}R_0\right)\right\}\right]^n.$$

Since $\operatorname{Ric}(e,e) \geq \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))/\lambda \geq -(n-1)\kappa/\lambda$ for any unit vector $e \in TM$, this finishes the proof from Bishop-Gromov's volume comparison. □

5. L^ϵ-ESTIMATES

In this section, we derive L^ϵ-estimates for p-Laplacian type operators by comparing a viscosity solution with a barrier function, and using the volume doubling property in Lemma 2.2. We follow a similar argument to [IS, Sections 4 and 5], but it is not straightforward due to the existence of the cut-locus in the setting of Riemannian manifolds.

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\operatorname{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \leq R \leq R_0$, *assume that* $B_{5r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exists a large constant* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *such that if for* $\beta \geq 0$, $u \in C\left(\overline{B}_{5r}(z_0)\right)$ *is semiconcave in* $B_{5r}(z_0)$, *and satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{B}_{5r}(z_0), \qquad u > \tilde{M} \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0), \\ \Delta_p u - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_{5r}(z_0) \ \text{ in the viscosity sense}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u > 1 \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$ *depends only on* n, p, $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, *and* βR_0.

Proof. For $\alpha > 1$ and $\tilde{M} > 1$, define

$$v(x) := \tilde{M}\left\{r^\alpha d(x,z_0)^{-\alpha} - 5^{-\alpha}\right\}.$$

By selecting uniform constants $\alpha > 1$ and $\tilde{M} > 1$, depending only on n, p, $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$ and βR_0, we have

$$\begin{cases} r^p\Delta_p v - \beta r^p|\nabla v|^{p-1} \geq 2 \quad \text{in } B_{5r}(z_0) \setminus (\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)\cup\{z_0\}), \\ v = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial B_{5r}(z_0), \\ v > 1 \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0), \\ \sup\limits_{\partial B_r(z_0)} v < \tilde{M} \\ |\nabla v(x)| > 0 \quad \forall x \notin \operatorname{Cut}(z_0)\cup\{z_0\}, \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

In fact, for $x \in B_{5r}(z_0) \setminus (\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)\cup\{z_0\})$,

$$\beta r^p|\nabla v(x)|^{p-1} = \left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^p\left\{\alpha\tilde{M}\left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^\alpha\right\}^{p-1}\beta d_{z_0}(x) \leq \left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^p\left\{\alpha\tilde{M}\left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^\alpha\right\}^{p-1}\beta R_0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r^p\Delta_p v(x) &= r^p|\nabla v|^{p-2}\operatorname{tr}\left\{\left(\mathbf{I}+(p-2)\nabla d_{z_0}\otimes\nabla d_{z_0}\right)D^2v(x)\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^p\left\{\alpha\tilde{M}\left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}(x)}\right)^\alpha\right\}^{p-1}\left\{(\alpha+1)(p-1)+1-\Delta d^2_{z_0}(x)/2\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $\nabla d_{z_0}(x)$ is an eigenvector of $D^2 d_{z_0}(x)$ and $D^2(d^2_{z_0}/2)(x)$ associated with eigenvalues 0 and 1, respectively. By using Lemma 2.6, we choose $\alpha > 1$ and $\tilde{M} > 1$

sufficiently large to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^p\Delta_p v-\beta r^p|\nabla v|^{p-1} &\geq \left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}}\right)^p\left\{\alpha\tilde{M}\left(\frac{r}{d_{z_0}}\right)^{\alpha}\right\}^{p-1}\left\{(\alpha+1)(p-1)-(n-1)\mathscr{H}\left(\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)-\beta R_0\right\} \\ &\geq 5^{-p}\left(\alpha\tilde{M}5^{-\alpha}\right)^{p-1}\cdot 1\geq 2 \qquad \text{in } B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus(\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)\cup\{z_0\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check other properties in (50) for large $\alpha>1$ and $\tilde{M}>1$.

Now we prove that if $u-v$ has a minimum at an interior point $x\in B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus\overline{B}_r(z_0)$, then x is not a cut point of z_0. Suppose to the contrary that $x\in(B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus\overline{B}_r(z_0))\cap\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)$ is an interior minimum point of $u-v$. Note that $x\neq z_0$ if $x\in\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)$. Define $\psi(s):=-\tilde{M}\left\{r^{\alpha}s^{-\alpha/2}-5^{-\alpha}\right\}$. We notice that $v=-\psi\circ d_{z_0}^2$ and $\psi'>0$ in $(0,\infty)$. By Corollary 2.8, there is a unit vector $X\in T_xM$ such that

$$\liminf_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t^2}\left\{\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2\left(\exp_x tX\right)\right)+\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2\left(\exp_x -tX\right)\right)-2\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2(x)\right)\right\}=-\infty.$$

Since $u-v$ has a minimum at an interior point $x\in(B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus\overline{B}_r(z_0))\cap\operatorname{Cut}(z_0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\infty &= \liminf_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t^2}\left\{\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2\left(\exp_x tX\right)\right)+\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2\left(\exp_x -tX\right)\right)-2\psi\left(d_{z_0}^2(x)\right)\right\} \\ &\geq -\limsup_{t\to 0}\frac{1}{t^2}\left\{u\left(\exp_x tX\right)+u\left(\exp_x -tX\right)-2u\left(x\right)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction due to semi-concavity of u. So x is not a cut point of z_0.

According to the comparison principle, we conclude that $u-v\geq 0$ in $B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus\overline{B}_r(z_0)$ since v is smooth in $B_{5r}(z_0)\setminus(\{z_0\}\cup\operatorname{Cut}(z_0))$ with non-vanishing gradient, and $u-v\geq 0$ on $\partial B_{5r}(z_0)\cup\partial B_r(z_0)$. Thus (50) implies that $u>1$ in $B_{4r}(z_0)$. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $1<p<\infty$, *and* $\operatorname{Ric}\geq-(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa\geq 0$. *For* $z_0,x_0\in M$ *and* $0<r\leq R\leq R_0$, *assume that* $B_{6r}(z_0)\subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exists a large constant* $\tilde{M}>1$ *such that if* $u\in C\left(\overline{B}_{6r}(z_0)\right)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u\geq 0 \quad \text{in } B_{6r}(z_0), \qquad u>\tilde{M} \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0), \\ \Delta_p u\leq r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_{6r}(z_0) \;\; \text{in the viscosity sense}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u>1 \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M}>1$ *depends only on* n, p, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

Proof. We use again Jensen's inf-convolution to approximate a viscosity supersolution u. Let $\eta>0$. According to Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.10, the inf-convolution u_ε of u with respect to $\overline{B}_{6r}(z_0)$ (for small $\varepsilon>0$) satisfies the following:

$$\begin{cases} u_\varepsilon\to u \quad \text{uniformly in } B_{6r}(z_0), \\ u_\varepsilon\geq-\eta \quad \text{in } B_{6r}(z_0), \qquad \inf_{B_r(z_0)}u_\varepsilon>\tilde{M}-\eta, \\ u_\varepsilon \text{ is } C_\varepsilon\text{-semiconcave in } B_{6r}(z_0), \\ \Delta_p u_\varepsilon-\tilde{\kappa}(n+p-2)\left\{2\varepsilon\omega\left(2\sqrt{m\varepsilon}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1}\leq r^{-p} \text{ in } B_{5r}(z_0) \end{cases}$$

in the viscosity sense, where $-\tilde{\kappa}$ ($\tilde{\kappa}\geq 0$) is a lower bound of the sectional curvature on $\overline{B}_{3R}(x_0)$, $C_\varepsilon:=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathscr{H}\left(2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}}R\right)$, $m:=\|u\|_{L^\infty(B_{6r}(z_0))}$, and ω denotes a modulus of continuity of

u on $\overline{B}_{6r}(z_0)$. We observe that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, u_ε satisfies

$$\Delta_p u_\varepsilon - \frac{1}{R_0}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1} \le r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_{5r}(z_0)$$

in the viscosity sense.

Let $\tilde{M}_0 > 1$ be the constant as in Lemma 5.1 with $\beta = 1/R_0$. Here, $\tilde{M}_0 > 1$ depends only on n, p, and $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$. Let $\tilde{M} := \tilde{M}_0 + 1$. For $0 < \eta < 1/(2\tilde{M}_0)$, we apply Lemma 5.1 to $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon := \frac{u_\varepsilon+\eta}{1+2\eta}$ in order to deduce that $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon > 1$ in $B_{4r}(z_0)$. By letting ε go to 0, it follows that $u \ge 1 + \eta > 1$ in $B_{4r}(z_0)$. □

Similarly, we obtain the following corollary by constructing a barrier function for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators with the help of Lemma 2.6.

Corollary 5.3. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \ge 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \le R \le R_0$, *assume that* $B_{6r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exists a large constant* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *such that if for* $\beta \ge 0$, $u \in C\left(\overline{B}_{6r}(z_0)\right)$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \ge 0 \quad \text{in } B_{6r}(z_0), \qquad u > \tilde{M} \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0), \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \le r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_{6r}(z_0) \ \text{in the viscosity sense}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u > 1 \quad \text{in } B_{4r}(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$ *depends only on* $n, p, \sqrt{\kappa}R_0, \lambda, \Lambda$, *and* βR_0.

Combined with Lemmas 4.6 and 4.11, we have the following measure estimate.

Corollary 5.4. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\operatorname{Ric} \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \ge 0$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \le R \le R_0$, *assume that* $B_{2r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exist constants* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $0 < \delta < 1$ *such that if* $u \in C(B_{2r}(z_0))$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \ge 0 \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0), \\ r^p \Delta_p u \le 1 \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0) \text{ in the viscosity sense}, \\ \left|\left\{u > \tilde{M}\right\} \cap B_r(z_0)\right| > (1-\delta)|B_r(z_0)|, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u > 1 \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *depend only on* n, p, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{M}_0 = \frac{p-1}{p}3^{\frac{p}{p-1}} > 1$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ be the constants as in Lemmas 4.6 and 4.11, and let $\tilde{M}_1$ be as in Corollary 5.2. Let $\tilde{M} := \frac{p}{p-1}\tilde{M}_0\tilde{M}_1$. Applying Lemmas 4.6 and 4.11 to $\dfrac{p-1}{p}\dfrac{u}{\tilde{M}_1}$ in $B_r(z_0)$, we obtain that $\inf_{B_{r/4}(z_0)} u > \tilde{M}_1$. From Corollary 5.2, it follows that $u > 1$ in $B_r(z_0)$. □

The homogeneity of the p-Laplacian operator implies the following.

Corollary 5.5. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\operatorname{Ric} \ge -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \ge 0$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \le R \le R_0$, *assume that* $B_{2r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exist constants* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $0 < \delta < 1$ *such that if for* $\theta > 0$, $u \in C(B_{2r}(z_0))$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \ge 0 \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0), \\ r^p \Delta_p u \le \theta^{p-1} \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0) \ \text{in the viscosity sense}, \\ \left|\left\{u > \theta\tilde{M}\right\} \cap B_r(z_0)\right| > (1-\delta)|B_r(z_0)|, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u > \theta \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *are the constants in Corollary 5.4.*

By using Corollaries 4.7, 4.12 and 5.3, the same argument as the proof of Corollary 5.4 yields the following measure estimate for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators.

Corollary 5.6. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *For* $z_0, x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < r \leq R \leq R_0$, *assume that* $B_{2r}(z_0) \subset B_R(x_0)$. *There exist constants* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $0 < \delta < 1$ *such that if for* $\beta \geq 0$ *and* $\theta > 0$, $u \in C(B_{2r}(z_0))$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 0 \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0), \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq \theta^{p-1}r^{-p} \quad \text{in } B_{2r}(z_0) \ \text{ in the viscosity sense}, \\ \left|\left\{u > \theta\tilde{M}\right\} \cap B_r(z_0)\right| > (1-\delta)|B_r(z_0)|, \end{cases}$$

then

$$u > \theta \quad \text{in } B_r(z_0),$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $\delta \in (0,1)$ *depend only on* n, p, $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, λ, Λ, *and* βR_0.

Now we prove L^ϵ-estimates for viscosity supersolutions by obtaining power decay of measure of super-level sets.

Theorem 5.7. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *Let* $x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$. *There exist constants* $\tilde{M} > 1$ *and* $0 < \delta < 1$ *such that if* $u \in C(B_{2R}(x_0))$ *satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} u \geq 0 \quad \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0), \qquad \inf\limits_{B_R(x_0)} u \leq 1, \\ R^p\Delta_p u \leq 1 \quad \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0) \ \text{ in the viscosity sense}, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\left|\left\{u > \tilde{M}^k\right\} \cap B_R(x_0)\right| < (1-\delta)^k|B_R(x_0)|, \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

Furthermore,

$$|\{u > t\} \cap B_R(x_0)| < ct^{-\epsilon}|B_R(x_0)|,$$

where $\tilde{M} > 1$, $\delta \in (0,1)$, $c > 0$, *and* $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ *depend only on* n, p, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

Proof. We give a sketch of the proof since the proof is similar to [IS, Theorem 5.1]. Let $A_k := \{u > \tilde{M}^k\} \cap B_R(x_0)$, where $\tilde{M} > 1$ is the constant in Corollary 5.4. According to Corollary 5.4, we have $|A_1| \leq (1-\delta)|B_R(x_0)|$. We claim that

$$|A_k| \leq (1-c_0\delta)^k|B_R(x_0)| \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where $0 < c_0 < 1$ is the constant as in Lemma 2.2 and depends on n, and $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$. By induction, suppose that $|A_k| \leq (1-c_0\delta)^k|B_R(x_0)|$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Corollary 5.5 with $\theta = \tilde{M}^k$, it follows that if a ball $B \subset B_R(x_0)$ satisfies the property that $|A_{k+1} \cap B| > (1-\delta)|B|$, then $B \subset A_k$. Therefore, Lemma 2.2 yields that

$$|A_{k+1}| \leq (1-c_0\delta)|A_k| \leq (1-c_0\delta)^{k+1}|B_R(x_0)|,$$

which finishes the proof. □

Corollary 5.8 (L^ϵ-estimate)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\mathrm{Ric} \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *Let* $x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$. *For* $C_0 \geq 0$, *let* $u \in C(B_{2R}(x_0))$ *be a nonnegative viscosity supersolution of*

$$\Delta_p u \leq C_0 \quad \text{in } B_{2R}(x_0).$$

Then

$$\left(\fint_{B_R(x_0)} u^{\epsilon}(x)dx\right)^{1/\epsilon} \leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(x_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where the constants $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ *and* $C > 0$ *depend only on* n, p, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

Proof. We may assume $C_0 > 0$. By applying Theorem 5.7 to $\dfrac{u}{\inf_{B_R(x_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$, we deduce power decay estimate for measure of super-level sets, from which the L^{ϵ}-esitmate follows. □

Proceeding with the same argument to the proof of Theorem 5.7 with the use of Corollary 5.6, we also obtain L^{ϵ}-estimates for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators; we note that $\lambda\,\mathrm{Ric}(e,e) \geq \mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e))$ for any unit vector $e \in TM$.

Corollary 5.9 (L^{ϵ}-estimate)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *Let* $x_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$. *For* $\beta \geq 0$ *and* $C_0 \geq 0$, *let* $u \in C(B_{2R}(x_0))$ *be a nonnegative viscosity supersolution of*

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq C_0 \quad \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0).$$

Then

$$\left(\fint_{B_R(x_0)} u^{\epsilon}(x)dx\right)^{1/\epsilon} \leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(x_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where the constants $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ *and* $C > 0$ *depend only on* n, p, $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, λ, Λ, *and* βR_0.

6. Harnack inequality

This section is devoted to the proof of Harnack inequality using the scale-invariant L^{ϵ}-estimates. We follow the method of [IS, Theorem 1.3] for the proof.

Theorem 6.1 (Harnack inequality)**.** *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* Ric $\geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *for* $\kappa \geq 0$. *For* $z_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$, *let* $u \in C(B_{2R}(z_0))$ *be a nonnegative viscosity solution of*

$$\Delta_p u = f \quad \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0).$$

Then

$$\sup_{B_R(z_0)} u \leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(z_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R}(z_0))}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where a constant $C > 0$ *depends only on* n, p, *and* $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

Proof. We may assume that $\inf_{B_R(z_0)} u \leq 1$ and $\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R}(z_0))} \leq R^{-p}$ replacing u by $\dfrac{u}{\inf_{B_R(z_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R}(z_0))}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$. For $\alpha > 0$ to be chosen later (depending only on n, p, and $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$), consider a continuous function

$$h_\tau(x) := \tau\left\{4/3 - d_{z_0}(x)/R\right\}^{-\alpha}, \quad \forall x \in B_{4R/3}(z_0),$$

where $\tau > 0$ is selected to be the minimal constant such that

$$u \leq h_\tau \quad \text{in } B_{4R/3}(z_0).$$

Let $x_0 \in B_{4R/3}(z_0)$ be a point such that $u(x_0) = h_\tau(x_0) =: H_0 > 0$, and let $r := \frac{4R/3 - d_{z_0}(x_0)}{2} \in (0, 2R/3]$. Then we have $B_{2r}(x_0) \subset B_{4R/3}(z_0)$, and $H_0 = h_\tau(x_0) = \tau(2r/R)^{-\alpha}$. We may assume that $\tau \geq (4/3)^{\alpha}$ and then $H_0 \geq 1$; otherwise $\sup_{B_R(z_0)} u \leq \sup_{B_R(z_0)} h_\tau \leq (4/3)^{\alpha} \cdot 3^{\alpha} = 4^{\alpha}$.

After using a standard covering argument, we deduce from Theorem 5.7 that

$$\left|\{u > H_0/2\} \cap B_{4R/3}(z_0)\right| \leq cH_0^{-\epsilon}|B_{4R/3}(z_0)|, \tag{51}$$

where the constants $c > 0$ and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ depend only on n, p, and $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$.

On the other hand, for a given $\mu \in (0,1)$,

$$u \le h_\tau \le \tau (r/R)^{-\alpha}\,(2-\mu)^{-\alpha} = H_0\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} \qquad \text{in } B_{\mu r}(x_0).$$

Define

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \frac{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} H_0 - u(x)}{\left\{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1\right\} H_0} \qquad \forall x \in B_{\mu r}(x_0),$$

which is nonnegative, and satisfies $\tilde{u}(x_0) = 1$ and

$$\left(\frac{\mu r}{2}\right)^p \Delta_p \tilde{u} \le \mu \left[\frac{\mu}{\left\{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1\right\} H_0}\right]^{p-1} \left(\frac{r}{2R}\right)^p \qquad \text{in } B_{\mu r}(x_0)\ .$$

Let $\tilde{M} > 1$ be the constant in Corollary 5.4. We select a large constant $\alpha > 0$ and a small constant $\mu \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\alpha := \frac{4\log_2 \mathcal{D}}{\epsilon}, \qquad \frac{\mu}{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1} \le \frac{4}{\alpha} \le 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1\right\} \le \frac{1}{2\tilde{M}},$$

for $\mathcal{D} := 2^n \cosh^{n-1}\left(6\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)$ since $\displaystyle\lim_{\mu\to 0+} \frac{\mu}{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1} = \frac{2}{\alpha}$. Then $\tilde{u}$ satisfies

$$(\mu r/2)^p \Delta_p \tilde{u} \le 1 \qquad \text{in } B_{\mu r}(x_0)\ ,$$

since $p > 1$, $H_0 \ge 1$, $0 < \mu < 1$, and $0 < r < R$. By applying Corollary 5.4 to $\tilde{u}$ in $B_{\mu r}(x_0)$ with $\tilde{u}(x_0) = 1$, we have

$$|\{\tilde{u} \le \tilde{M}\} \cap B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)| > \delta \left|B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)\right|,$$

which implies

$$|\{u > H_0/2\} \cap B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)| > \delta \left|B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)\right|,$$

since

$$H_0\left[\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - \tilde{M}\left\{\left(\frac{2-\mu}{2}\right)^{-\alpha} - 1\right\}\right] > \frac{H_0}{2}.$$

Combined with (51), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta|B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)| &< |\{u > H_0/2\} \cap B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)| \\
&\le |\{u > H_0/2\} \cap B_{4R/3}(z_0)| \\
&\le cH_0^{-\epsilon}|B_{4R/3}(z_0)| \le cH_0^{-\epsilon}|B_{8R/3}(x_0)| = c\tau^{-\epsilon}2^{\epsilon\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\epsilon\alpha}|B_{8R/3}(x_0)| \\
&\le c\tau^{-\epsilon}2^{\epsilon\alpha}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{\epsilon\alpha}\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{16R}{3\mu r}\right)^{\log_2\mathcal{D}}|B_{\mu r/2}(x_0)|
\end{aligned}$$

for $\mathcal{D} := 2^n \cosh^{n-1}\left(6\sqrt{\kappa}R_0\right)$ from (3). Therefore, it follows that τ is uniformly bounded from above since $\epsilon\alpha \ge \log_2 \mathcal{D}$, and hence $\sup_{B_R(z_0)} u \le \sup_{B_R(z_0)} h_\tau \le \tau \cdot 3^{\alpha}$. □

By replacing Theorem 5.7 and Corollary 5.4 by Corollaries 5.9 and 5.6, we have the following Harnack inequality for nonlinear p-Laplacian type operators.

Theorem 6.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$ *and* $\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(R(e)) \geq -(n-1)\kappa$ *with* $\kappa \geq 0$ *for any unit vector* $e \in TM$. *Let* $z_0 \in M$ *and* $0 < R \leq R_0$. *For* $\beta \geq 0$, *and* $C_0 \geq 0$, *let* u *be a nonnegative viscosity solution to*

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^-_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) - \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \leq C_0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0)\ , \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\mathcal{M}^+_{\lambda,\Lambda}(D^2u) + \beta|\nabla u|^{p-1} \geq -C_0 & \text{in } B_{2R}(z_0). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sup_{B_R(z_0)} u \leq C\left(\inf_{B_R(z_0)} u + R^{\frac{p}{p-1}} C_0^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right),$$

where a constant $C > 0$ *depends only on* n, p, $\sqrt{\kappa}R_0$, λ, Λ, *and* βR_0.

References

[A] A. D. Aleksandrov, *Almost everywhere existence of the second differential of a convex function and some properties of convex surfaces connected with it* (in Russian), Uchen. Zap. Leningrad. Gos. Univ., Math. Ser. 6 (1939), 3-35.

[ACP] R. Argiolas, F. Charro and I. Peral, *On the Aleksandrov-Bakel'man-Pucci estimate for some elliptic and parabolic nonlinear operators,* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **202** (2011), 875-917.

[AF] D. Azagra and J. Ferrera, *Regularization by sup-inf convolutions on Riemannian manifolds: an extension of Lasry-Lions theorem to manifolds of bounded curvature.* J. Math. Anal. Appl. **423** (2015), no. 2, 994-1024

[AFS] D. Azagra, J. Ferrera and B. Sanz, *Viscosity solutions to second order partial differential equations on Riemannian manifolds,* J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), 307-336.

[B] V. Bangert, *Analytische Eigenschaften konvexer Funktionen auf Riemannschen Man- nigfaltigkeiten,* J. Reine Angew. Math. **307** (1979), 309-324.

[BD] I. Birindelli and F. Demengel, *The Dirichlet problem for singular fully nonlinear operators,* Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Dynamical Systems and Differential Equations. Proceedings of the 6th AIMS International Conference, 110–121, 2007

[BC] R.L. Bishop and R.J. Crittenden, *Geometry of Manifolds,* Academic Press, New York, 1964

[Ca] X. Cabré, *Nondivergent elliptic equations on manifolds with nonnegative curvature*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **50** (1997), 623-665.

[CC] L. A. Caffarelli and X. Cabré, *Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications **43**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995.

[CMS] D. Cordero-Erausquin and R. J. McCann, M. Schmuckenschläger, *A Riemannian interpolation inequality à la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb*. Invent. Math. **146** (2) (2001), 219-257.

[DFQ1] G. Dávila, P. Felmer and A. Quaas, *Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate for singular or degenerate fully nonlinear elliptic equations,* Comptes Rendus Mathematique **347**(19) (2009), 1165-1168.

[DFQ2] G. Dávila, P. Felmer and A. Quaas, *Harnack inequality for singular fully nonlinear operators and some existence results,* Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ. **39**(3-4) (2010), 557–578.

[G] A.A. Grigoryan, *The heat equation on noncompact Riemannian manifolds* (Russian), Mat. Sb., **182**(1) (1991), 55-87; Math. USSR-Sb., **72**(1) (1992), 47-77.

[H] I. Holopainen, *Positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds,* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1992), 651-672.

[I] C. Imbert. *Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate and Harnack inequality for degenerate/singular fully nonlinear elliptic equations.* J. Differential Equations, **250** (3) (2011), 1553-1574.

[IS] C. Imbert and L. Silvestre, *Estimates on elliptic equations that hold only where the gradient is large*. J Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.

[J] R. Jensen, *The Maximum Principle for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order partial differential equations,* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **101** (1988), 1-27.

[K] S. Kim, *Harnack inequality for nondivergent elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds,* Pacific J. Math. **213** (2004), 281-293.

[KKL] S. Kim, S. Kim and K.-A. Lee, *Harnack inequality for nondivergent parabolic operators on Riemannian manifolds,* Calc. Var. **49** (2014), 669-706.

[KL] S. Kim and K.-A. Lee, *Parabolic Harnack inequality of viscosity solutions on Riemannian manifolds,* J. Funct. Anal. **267** (2014), 2152-2198.

[KN] B. Kotschwar and L. Ni, *Local gradient estimates of p-harmonic func- tions,* $1/H$*-flow, and an entropy formula,* Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **42** (1) (2009), 1-36.

[M] C. Mooney, *Harnack inequality for degenerate and singular elliptic equations with unbounded drift,* J. Differential Equations **258**(5) (2015), 1577-1591.

[RSV] M. Rigoli, M. Salvatori and M. Vignati, *A note on p-subharmonic functions on complete manifolds*, Manuscripta. Math. **92** (1997), 339-359.

[SC] L. Saloff-Coste, *Uniformly elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **36** (1992), 417-450.

[S] O. Savin, *Small perturbation solutions for elliptic equations,* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **32** (2007), 557-578.

[V] C. Villani, *Optimal Transport: Old and New*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[WxZ] X. Wang and L. Zhang, *Local gradient estimate for p-harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **19** (2011), no. 4, 759-771.

[WZ] Y. Wang and X. Zhang, *An Alexandroff-Bakelman-Pucci estimate on Riemannian manifolds*, Adv. Math **232** (2013), 499-512.

[Y] S.-T. Yau, *Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **28** (1975), 201-228.

Soojung Kim : Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, 6F, Astronomy-Mathematics Building No.1, Sec.4, Roosevelt Road, Taipei 10617, TAIWAN

E-mail address: `soojung26@gmail.com; soojung26@math.sinica.edu.tw`